



Aptiv

# 2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

**Important: this export excludes unanswered questions**

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

▪

# Contents

## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*Aptiv is a global technology company focused on making the world safer, greener and more connected. We have organized our business into three diversified segments, which enable us to develop technology solutions and manufacture highly-engineered products that enable our customers to respond to these mega-trends:*

- Advanced Safety and User Experience—This segment, which includes our Active Safety, User Experience and Smart Vehicle Compute and Software businesses, provides critical technologies and services to enhance vehicle safety, security, comfort and convenience, including sensing and perception systems, electronic control units, multidomain controllers, vehicle connectivity systems, cloud-native software platforms, application software, autonomous driving technologies and end-to-end DevOps tools.*
- Electrical Distribution Systems, which includes the full range of low voltage and high voltage power, signal and data distribution solutions needed to deliver fully integrated, cost-optimized architectures. As described in Note 22. Separation of Electrical Distribution Systems of our Q2 2025 10Q, the Company is pursuing a separation of the Electrical Distribution Systems business into a new, independent publicly traded company.*
- Engineered Components Group, which includes interconnect and component solutions that optimize the distribution of signal, power and data for next-generation applications across multiple end markets.*

*Reference: 2024 10-K and Q2 2025 10-Q*  
*[Fixed row]*

**(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.**

**(1.4.1) End date of reporting year**

12/31/2024

**(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for**

Select from:

☒ 1 year

**(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for**

Select from:

☒ Not providing past emissions data for Scope 2

**(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for**

Select from:

☒ 1 year

[Fixed row]

**(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?**

19713000000

**(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.**

|  |                                                                                                        |
|--|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements? |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                                |

[Fixed row]

**(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?**

**ISIN code - bond**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

☒ No

**ISIN code - equity**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

JE00B783TY65

## CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

G6095L 109

## Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

APTV

## SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## D-U-N-S number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## Other unique identifier

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

## (1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ India

☒ Italy

☒ Japan

☒ Spain

☒ Serbia

☒ Sweden

☒ Turkey

☒ Austria

☒ Czechia

☒ Ireland

☒ Morocco

☒ Romania

☒ Brazil

☒ France

☒ Israel

☒ Mexico

☒ Poland

☒ Denmark

☒ Estonia

☒ Finland

☒ Germany

☒ Hungary

☒ Malaysia

☒ Portugal

☒ Slovakia

- ☒ Tunisia
- ☒ Honduras
- ☒ Singapore
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ North Macedonia
- ☒ Republic of Korea
- ☒ United States of America

- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Indonesia
- ☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

### (1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

|  | Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?               | Comment     |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, for all facilities | No comment. |

[Fixed row]

### (1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

#### Row 1

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

Guadalupe, Zacatecas - Plant 61, Mexico

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.753551

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.505489

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 2

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Nuevo Laredo 2 - MFG, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.44579

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.499911

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 3

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Saltillo Plant 98, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.496829

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.984537

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 4

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Bursa Satellite - MFG*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.238845

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.93108

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 5

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Matamoros Deltronicos Mfg., Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.884883

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.551482

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 6

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Bursa - MFG*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*40.174019*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*28.775262*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 7

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tech Center Krakow*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*49.998073*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.851441

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 8

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Jiaxing - MFG, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.751877

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.64834

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 9

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Warren CSE Tech Ctr*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.281807

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.827262

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 10

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Conceicao dos Ouros - MFG, Brazil*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.408375

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-45.788848

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 11

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Ineu Mfg., Romania*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.414665

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.823577

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 12

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Wiehl-Bomig Tech Center, Germany*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.969427

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.545483

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 13

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Chennai ES - MFG, India*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.84782

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

79.928406

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 14

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Serbia 2 - MFG*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.0258

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.942061

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 15

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Suzhou Manufacturing - Eng Center, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.315295

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.78798

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 16

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tijuana (PIC 11), Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.475012

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-116.9889

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 17

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Szombathely 2 MFG, Hungary*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.240681

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.643858

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 18

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Viza Building (M1 Satellite)- MFG Tangier, Morocco*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.718683

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.929066

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 19

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Morocco 5 MFG EDS, Morocco,*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.773876

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.929234

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 20

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Centec III - CS Mfg - Saltillo, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*25.578505*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*-100.907054*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 21

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Castelo Branco Mfg, Portugal*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*39.811288*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-7.518948

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 22

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Gothenburg Tech Center - Molndalsvagen*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

57.687766

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.996761

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 23

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Morocco 4 MFG, Morocco*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.828842

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.460782

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 24

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Guadalupe III Mfg., Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.664902

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.176967

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 25

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Serbia MFG (Neobus)*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.283298

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.800354

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 26

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Meoqui Plant 58, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.277385

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.485128

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 27

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tech Center India, India*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.97203

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.718465

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 28

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Durango 1 - MFG, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.043804

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.608609

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 29

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Reynosa Manufacturing, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.046257

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.358216

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 30

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Victoria 2 - MFG, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.725515

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.083284

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 31

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Epernon - MFG, France*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.599642

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

1.687068

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 32

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Nuevo Laredo 1 Plant 81, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.481383

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.546746

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 33

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Morocco 1, Morocco*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.736202

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.863051

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 34

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Cochin - MFG 2, India*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

9.894444

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

76.430707

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 35

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Morocco 2, Morocco*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.718683

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.929066

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 36

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Yancheng - MFG 1 (KUM), China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*33.364174*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*120.254796*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 37

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Indiana Westfield Lab - ASUX Eng*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*40.03944*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.152362

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 38

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Yijiang Manufacturing, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*31.261008*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*118.355915*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 39

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tangier MFG EDS (M7), Morocco*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*35.720672*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.906986

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 40

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*ES Macedonia, Macedonia*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.985659

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.622873

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 41

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*China Tech Center, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.323974

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.605949

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 42

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Espirito Santo do Pinhal 2 - MFG, Brazil*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.223338

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.79056

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 43

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Los Mochis Plant 59, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.77432

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-108.986624

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 44

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Torino - MFG, Italy*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.13274

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.670195

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 45

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Wuhan MFG, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.461326

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.072071

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 46

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Baicheng Government Mfg., China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*45.586804*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*122.827038*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 47

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Nuremberg, Germany*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*49.488061*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.103616

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 48

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Brookhaven Plant 23 & 26 - MFG CS*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.600172

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.4283

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 49

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Los Mochis Satellite - MFG, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.468258

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-108.10717

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 50

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Yantai Mfg., China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*37.5205*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*121.22057*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 51

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Gdansk - MFG, Polonia*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*54.367693*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

18.48387

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 52

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tatabanya - MFG, Hungary*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.584906

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

18.360014

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 53

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Yokohama - Office, Japan*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.467297

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.626545

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 54

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Morocco 3, Morocco*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.303977

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-6.390195

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 55

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Fresnillo 2 and 3, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.197

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.860516

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 56

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Anting Yuanguo Mfg. (CS), China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*31.335091*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*121.199495*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 57

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Singapore Manufacturing - Eng Center.*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*1.356904*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.855883

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 58

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Serbia Satellite (Novi Sad) - EDS MFG*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.285592

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.806975

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 59

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Chengdu, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.537129

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.19484

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 60

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Pune - New MFG EDS, India*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*18.758023*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*73.787191*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 61

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Namyang - MFG (CS), South Korea*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*37.155725*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

126.846166

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 62

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Braga - MFG, Portugal*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.534944

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-8.436367

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 63

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Anting Tai Bo A5 and A, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.31491

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.249041

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 64

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Saltillo CS Plant 97, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.474394

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.985068

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 65

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Juarez Plant 37 (RBE IX), Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.708655

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.420495

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 66

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tunisia MFG*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.631518

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.619035

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 67

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Antaya - MFG (CS)*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.355185

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.364736

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 68

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Durango 2 - MFG, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.98484

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.689979

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 69

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Linares Plant 86, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.864475

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.558307

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 70

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Warren NRR - MFG*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*41.267822*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*-80.797781*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 71

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Sangbuk - MFG (KUM), South Korea*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*35.602455*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

129.076306

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 72

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Wiehl-Marienhagen Tech Center, Germany*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.976494

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.572327

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 73

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Szombathely 1 MFG, Hungary*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.246028

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.651669

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*No comment.*

**Row 74**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Coventry - Office*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

52.404891

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-1.465966

**(1.8.1.4) Comment**

*No comment.*

**Row 75**

**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

*Troy Offices*

**(1.8.1.2) Latitude**

42.602222

**(1.8.1.3) Longitude**

-83.161954

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 76

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Changchun Mfg.. China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.846792

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

125.418086

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 77

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Chongqing - MFG, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.748823

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.478612

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 78

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Castelo Branco Municipal Bldg*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.810975

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-7.519983

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 79

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Youngcheon - MFG (KUM)*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.973118

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

128.938918

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 80

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Frontera MFG EDS*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.9505

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-101.461002

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 81

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Nantong - MFG (CS), China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.057102

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

32.057102

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 82

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Sannicolau Mare Mfg., Romania*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.057389

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.644445

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 83

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Fresnillo 1 Plant 62, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.171251

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.882602

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 84

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Grosspetersdorf Mfg., Austria*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.241614

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.329224

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 85

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Jingzhou MFG, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.335998

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

112.23871

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 86

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Choongiu - MFG (KUM)*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.99195

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.925922

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 87

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Juarez Plant 38 (RBE V), Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.724941

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.399413

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 88

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Jambeiro Mfg., Brazil*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.323036

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-45.735784

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 89

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Chennai EDS MFG, India*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.894599

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

79.928785

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 90

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Dharuhera Mfg, India*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.217774

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

76.784027

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 91

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Gothenburg Tech Center - Molndalsvagen, Sweden*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

57.687766

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.996761

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 92

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Nuevo Laredo 3 - Mfg & Eng Ctr*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.444938

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.501509

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 93

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Juarez Plant 32 (RBE VII, II Offices), Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.66056

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.340903

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 94

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Duseo 1 - MFG (KUM)*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.668936

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

129.172721

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 95

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Jelesnia Mfg., Polonia*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.653409

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.3273

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 96

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tianjin - MFG, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.445904

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

117.025132

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 97

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Juarez Plant 33 (RBE IV, RBE XIII), Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.743232

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.427158

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 98

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Paraisopolis - MFG, Brazil*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.553834

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-45.770162

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 99

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Durango 1 Satellite, Vicente Guerrero - MFG, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.73922

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-103.993749

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 100

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Zacatecas 2 - Mfg, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.764968

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-102.48526

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 101

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Bakov nad Jizerou CSC, Czech Republic*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.486347

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.943123

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 102

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*San Pedro Sula - MFG CS, Honduras*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

15.349196

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.182287

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 103

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Dublin Office, Ireland*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.344518

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-6.235735

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 104

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Jiang Hai MFG, China*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*22.553847*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*113.158073*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 105

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Wuppertal Tech Ctr., Germany*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*51.235764*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.158773

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 106

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Kuala Terengganu Mfg., Malaysia*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

5.270401

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.16416

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 107

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Vienna Plant 47 - MFG*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.257545

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.697775

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 108

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Pamplona Mfg.*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.80882

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.683098

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 109

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Lisbon Office, Portugal*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.76801

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-9.181187

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 110

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Agoura Hills CA -ASUX Eng*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.14694

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.754417

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 111

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Neumarkt SUD Mfg., Germany*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.261671

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.447239

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 112

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Mexico Tech Center, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.749692

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.43823

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 113

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Mattighofen - MFG, Austria*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.095581

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.15778

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 114

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Arad - Eng, Romania*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.216726

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.285255

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 115

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Osberghausen Mfg., Germany*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.985545

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.482011

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 116

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Juarez Plant 39 (RBE I) CS, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.713114

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.396699

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 117

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Parral I Plant 50, Mexico*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.925648

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.696422

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

### Row 118

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

*Tangiers Lasry Mfg, Morroco*

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

*35.720672*

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

*-5.906986*

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

*No comment.*

*[Add row]*

### (1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

#### (1.24.1) Value chain mapped

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

#### (1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

- Select from:
- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

- Select from:
- ☒ All supplier tiers known have been mapped

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Mapping Aptiv’s sub-suppliers is a foundational requirement for driving supply chain resilience, compliance, and sustainability. Our supply chain management team identifies the most critical part number categories, such as cables, connectors, copper, resin, PCBs, and semiconductors. The "tiers" identified for mapping represent the most critical steps in each category’s value chain. Aptiv ships over 150 million components to over 4,000 ship locations per day (reference to 2025 Sustainability Index Report - SASB TR-AP-000.A)

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

|  | Plastics mapping                                                                                                                                                                                   | Value chain stages covered in mapping                                                                                                 |
|--|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | <p>Select from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain</li></ul> | <p>Select all that apply</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain</li></ul> |

[Fixed row]

## C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

### Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*Aligned with our financial planning and footprint calculation*

### Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*This is aligned with our financial planning process: Forecast revenue, adjusted operating income, and cash flow. It also takes into account the footprint calculation.*

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

6

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

20

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*This is aligned with our financial planning process: Revenue forecast of all product lines out 6 years and beyond 6 years for key growth businesses. It also takes into account the footprint calculation.*  
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

|  | Process in place                                        | Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process                             |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts |

[Fixed row]

**(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?**

|  | Process in place                                        | Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process                             | Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process? |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes              |

[Fixed row]

**(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.**

**Row 1**

**(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water

**(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Dependencies
- ☒ Impacts
- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

*Select from:*

- ☒ Full

### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ More than once a year

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

- ☒ Scenario analysis

### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events

Policy

- ☒ Changes to national legislation

Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- ☒ Stigmatization of sector

Technology

☒ Dependency on water-intensive energy sources

Liability

☒ Non-compliance with regulations

#### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☒ Customers

☒ Employees

☒ Regulators

☒ Suppliers

#### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*All risks and opportunities (including climate-related) are identified and assessed by regional Teams using the ISO14001 certified Risk Priority Number (RPN) System. The majority of risks are identified using three attributes, (i) magnitude of loss (\$ value), (ii) likelihood of occurrence, and (iii) timeframe/urgency. Overall, we have 100+ risks cataloged for which mitigation activities are in place. At the group level, a top-down approach is also applied, whereby a team consisting of internal and external experts develop a set of indicators and standards that are applied for the yearly assessments on the site level, hence ensuring consistency. An example of this type of internal standard would be the Environmental Aspect Evaluation which is based on ISO standards. The process assesses the frequency of occurrence of the risk and opportunities in 10 ranks from 1 to 10 depending on the following criteria: (Frequent - Occurs almost always (>85% of time), Frequent - Occurs almost always (65% - 85% of time), Routine (or likely) Occurs (45% – 65% of time), Occasional Occurs (25% – 45% of time), Occasional Occurs (15% – 25% of time), Occasional Occurs (10% – 15% of time), Infrequent (5% – 10% of time), "Infrequent (<5% of time)" and Occurs very unlikely or never until now).*

### Row 2

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

☒ Water

#### **(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### **(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Direct operations

#### **(2.2.2.4) Coverage**

*Select from:*

☒ Full

#### **(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment**

*Select from:*

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### **(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment**

*Select from:*

☒ More than once a year

#### **(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term

#### **(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process**

*Select from:*

- ☒ A specific environmental risk management process

#### **(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Local

#### **(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used**

Databases

- ☒ Maplecroft Global Water Security Risk Index

#### **(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered**

Chronic physical

- ☒ Water stress

#### **(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Regulators

#### **(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?**

Select from:

☒ No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*The Water Stress Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 3

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Partial

#### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ Annually

#### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

#### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

*Select from:*

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

#### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Not location specific

#### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☒ EcoVadis

#### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☒ Pollution incident

Chronic physical

☒ Increased ecosystem vulnerability

☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events

☒ Water stress

Policy

☒ Poor enforcement of environmental regulation

Market

☒ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material

Liability

☒ Exposure to litigation

☒ Non-compliance with regulations

#### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Suppliers

#### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

*Select from:*

☒ No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*The EcoVadis sustainability intelligence suite spans the full spectrum of sustainability risk and performance management with broad-scale supply chain risk screening and mapping, reliable scorecards with actionable ratings, and complete audit and improvement management.*

[Add row]

## (2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

### (2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

*Interconnections are assessed through a materiality assessment, which evaluates how sustainability issues affect the company financially (outside-in) and how the company impacts the environment (inside-out). For example, we assess how Aptiv can be an enabler for low-carbon products and services while also recognizing that Aptiv's manufacturing sites can negatively impact climate change due to high energy consumption, contributing to increased GHG emissions and a larger carbon footprint. Additionally, although Aptiv's operations are not water-intensive, we acknowledge that 52% of our sites are located in water-scarce areas. As a preventive measure, we have set more aggressive water reduction targets for the entire group.*

[Fixed row]

## (2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

### (2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified priority locations

### (2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

### (2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

- ☒ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

### (2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

*Aptiv has engaged with the Verisk Maplecroft tool to analyze and understand possible risks impacting direct operations. The tool assesses various categories of risks, including natural disaster frequency and water stress. Each indicator is then scored on a 0 to 10 or 0 to 100 scale, where higher scores indicate a higher risk presence. The analysis is performed on a global scale.*

### (2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we will be disclosing the list/geospatial map of priority locations

### (2.3.6) Provide a list and/or spatial map of priority locations

Verisk\_Maplecroft\_water-stress\_2025-09-11.xlsx  
[Fixed row]

## (2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

### Risks

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative
- ☒ Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☒ Revenue

### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☒ Absolute decrease

### (2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

500000

### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☒ Frequency of effect occurring

☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Aptiv defines as substantive financial impacts those risks that could significantly and negatively impact the revenue and financial loss recovery. The climate stability/instability as well as the geopolitical risks of the location are therefore an inherent factor of our risk assessment. Aptiv assesses substantive financial or strategic impact on our business, not on the group but on site level, since different locations could face different types of risks. Some examples are: · Sites that are more likely to be close and around wildfires due to higher temperatures like on the west coast of the US (Agoura Hills) and North of Mexico (Tijuana) · Sites that are at water risk --scarcity areas in the north of Mexico (Tijuana, Ciudad Juarez, Saltillo, and Nuevo Laredo), north of Morocco (Tangier) and Asia (China and India) · Sites that are more likely to face increase in temperatures like in Europe · Sites that are more likely to see unexpected winter storms in the North of Mexico · Sites that are more likely to face increase in more water storms and flooding in central America, south America and Asia. · Sites where climate change policy regulations and policies don't promote the energy transition from fossil fuels to renewable energies e.g. Mexico and China · Sites that are energy intensive due to the nature of the manufacturing processes. The risk management system is based on a scale going from 1 – 5, with the latter being the highest, hence implying the highest risks. Due to site differences, the numbers may vary. However, a general rule is substantive financial impact is considered those risks that result in a loss of \$500,000 within the highest risk categories.*

## Opportunities

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative
- ☒ Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- ☒ Revenue

#### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- ☒ Absolute increase

#### (2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

500000

#### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Frequency of effect occurring
- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

#### (2.4.7) Application of definition

Similarly, as for risks, Aptiv defines as substantive effects those opportunities, related to environmental issues, that would bring an increase in revenue of \$500,000 if the opportunity was realized.

[Add row]

**(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?**

## (2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

## (2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

*All wastewater discharges, direct and indirect, (sanitary, process, and storm-water) must comply with all local, state or national regulations and/or permit requirements. In the absence of local, state, national or permit discharge limits the facility must meet the following Aptiv targets: -Process Wastewater Discharge Quality Target Values: Oil and Grease / 20 mg/l/ grab / annually, pH / 6-9 units / grab / annual Fecal Coliform / 10001 cfu/100ml/grab / annually, Total Suspended Solids/70 mg/l/composite / annually (5-day) or CBOD (5 day)/50 mg/l/composite / annually, Chemical Oxygen Demand / 800 mg/l/composite / annually, Total Phosphorus/ 10 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Ammonia Nitrogen/30 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Phenols/2(mg/l)/composite / annually, Copper (total)/1 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Cyanide (amenable)0.3 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Lead (total)/0.005 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Nickel (total)/1 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Chromium (total)/1 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Zinc (total)/2 (mg/l)/ composite / annually.-Sanitary Wastewater Discharge Quality Target Values - Oil and Grease / 20 (mg/l)/ grab / annually / 6-9 units / grab / annually, Fecal Coliform / 10001 cfu/100ml/grab / annually, Total Suspended Solids/70 (mg/l)/composite / annually (5-day) or CBOD (5 day)/50 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Chemical Oxygen Demand / 800 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Total Phosphorus/ 10 (mg/l)/composite / annually, Ammonia Nitrogen/30 (mg/l)*  
[Fixed row]

**(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.**

Row 1

### (2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Other nutrients and oxygen demanding pollutants

### (2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

*COD / BOD - Higher BOD/COD levels mean a greater amount of oxidizable organic material in the sample, which will reduce dissolved oxygen (DO) levels. Value chain*

### (2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

### (2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☒ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

### (2.5.1.5) Please explain

*Aptiv's manufacturing sites operate WWTP to have a compliance beyond regulatory requirements. These WWTP include technologies like Activated Sludge Process (ASP), Rotating Biological Contactors, Submerged Fixed Bed Biofilm Reactor, Physical Chemical Treatment, Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors and Sequencing Batch Reactor. According to our policies and procedures, Water & Wastewater Management requires that all sites comply with all local, state or national regulations and/or permit requirements. All process wastewater facilities must be compatible with the contaminants in the wastewater. In the absence of local, state, national or permit discharge limits for the contaminants listed, the facility must meet the Aptiv target values for those individual contaminants where they are discharged directly to a surface water. Analysis for specific contaminants shall be performed in accordance with local, state, national or permit requirements. In the absence of legal requirements for analysis of specific contaminants, analysis shall be performed based on the sampling requirements specified in our procedure. Aptiv utilizes recycled water for operational and landscaping purposes. An example of this practice includes the connection to the "Purple Line" in three of our sites in Juarez, Mexico, for the purpose of utilizing treated, non-potable water for landscape purposes.*

## Row 2

### (2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Oil

### (2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

*Grease and Oil generated in kitchens of our facilities. If these compounds are not removed before discharge of treated wastewater, oil and grease can interfere with biological life in surface waters and create unsightly films.*

### (2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

#### (2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

#### (2.5.1.5) Please explain

*Grease Trap / Oil separation tank for kitchens/ Oil/Water separator in air compressor system.*

*[Add row]*

## C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

### Climate change

#### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

### Water

#### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, only within our direct operations

#### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

#### (3.1.3) Please explain

*Although Aptiv's operations and those of its suppliers are not water-intensive, suppliers are committed to conserving natural resources and recycling materials throughout every stage of the product life cycle. Upon request, suppliers will provide Aptiv with data to demonstrate the reduction of environmental impact, including metrics on water quality and consumption.*

### Plastics

### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

### (3.1.3) Please explain

*Increasing the use of recycled materials, bio-based materials, and low-carbon components is a priority for Aptiv to achieve its carbon-neutral roadmap. Currently, the risks associated with the use of plastics are primarily focused on their impact on climate change.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

#### Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Technology

☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

#### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Upstream value chain

#### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- |                                                       |                                                              |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sweden                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Morocco                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tunisia                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Honduras                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Republic of Moldova      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indonesia         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore         |                                                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> North Macedonia   |                                                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Republic of Korea |                                                              |

#### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*An estimated 20% of customers based on Aptiv's total revenue as disclosed in the 10-K, are requesting carbon footprint declarations and life cycle assessments as part of their Request for Quotation (RFQ) processes. These customers typically have stringent environmental targets. Additionally, some RFQ agreements require Aptiv to incorporate low-carbon materials or recycled content into its products.*

#### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Increased direct costs

#### **(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Long-term

#### **(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

*Select from:*

☒ Virtually certain

#### **(3.1.1.14) Magnitude**

*Select from:*

☒ High

#### **(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons**

*For the purpose of our CDP submission, we estimate a potential cost increase of 10% to 25% for wiring harnesses, based on evolving customer requirements and the latest supplier pricing for recycled copper*

#### **(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes

#### **(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)**

1971300000

#### **(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)**

3942600000

### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, this estimated figure represents a potential cost of losing business with certain customers with stringent environmental targets. These estimated figures are based on Aptiv total revenue, as disclosed in our 10-K, and calculations that assume a loss of business across certain customers with the most stringent low carbon materials transition. Aptiv total revenue in 2024 was \$19,713,000,000, and no one customer represented more than 10% of total revenue. The minimum figure represents losing the one customer with renewable transition supplier targets:  $10\% \times \$19,713,000,000 = \$1,971,300,000$ . The maximum figure represents losing all of our customers who have targets to transition to low carbon materials:  $20\% \times \$19,713,000,000 = \$3,942,600,000$ .*

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☒ Engage with suppliers

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

90000000

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, an average additional cost of \$450,000,000 to the cost of sales based on Aptiv total revenue, as disclosed in our 10-K, applied to 20% of our customers with stringent environmental targets to transition to lower carbon materials represents \$90,000,000.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*We are actively engaging with our suppliers to assess market capabilities for transitioning to low-carbon materials. This involves understanding current costs, future trends, and advancements in research and development of new materials and technologies aimed at reducing the carbon footprint of our products. We are currently in the process of collecting more accurate data from our suppliers to refine our Scope 3 emissions baseline and establish more detailed targets in collaboration with them*

**Water**

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk2

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☒ Water stress

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ Mexico

### (3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ Rio Grande

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Water stress and drought pose current operational risks in Mexico, where water supply can be suspended on any given day, leading to operational shutdowns. Aptiv is taking preventive measures by investing in water tanks to ensure a continuous water supply and prevent financial losses due to water shortages at its sites.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Medium-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Very likely

### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, approximately 50% of North American sites could have an impact, mostly in the northern region of Mexico, resulting in the potential temporary suspension of operations due to insufficient potable water supply at our operational sites.*

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

19000000

### (3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

475000000

### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, we used the disclosed North America revenue from our 10-K filing: \$6,934,000,000. Dividing this by 365 days, we estimate a daily revenue of approximately \$19,000,000. Assuming that 50% of our North American sites could be affected by water shortages, the potential daily impact is estimated at \$9,500,000. If such shortages occur for 2 to 5 days annually, the estimated financial impact would range from: 2 days \* \$9,500,000 = \$19,000,000 and 5 days \* \$9,500,000 = \$47,500,000*

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☒ Secure alternative water supply

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

2700000

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, an estimated cost of each water tank of \$100,000 USD multiplied by 27 locations in Mexico in high-risk water areas.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Construction of an additional water tank to secure water supply during water shortages*

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk4

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Technology

☒ Transition to increasing renewable content

#### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Direct operations

#### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- |                                                     |                                                                                          |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil                                               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France                                               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico                                               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland                                               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sweden                                               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland                                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Morocco                                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania                                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tunisia                                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Honduras                                             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Republic of Korea                                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Republic of Moldova                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovenia        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America                             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> North Macedonia |                                                                                          |

#### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*IPCC reports released during 2022 further enhance the risk that the world is moving towards a near 3-degree global warming by the end of the century, with the increased risk that the Paris Agreement targets are not met. Based on this scenario it is likely to see an increase in speed and scope of new customer requirements and policies. Therefore is very likely to experience changes in customer requirements related to climate change, renewable energy and decarbonization. Also, this scenario could potentially impact energy prices and create higher consumer awareness of energy consumption and energy prices.*

#### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Increased capital expenditures

#### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Medium-term

#### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Virtually certain

#### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

#### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, the estimated figure of customers with stringent environmental targets with requirements to transition to 100% renewable energy, represents between 10% and 50% based on Aptiv total revenue, as disclosed in our 10-K.*

#### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

1971300000

#### (3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

9856500000

### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, this estimated figure represents a potential cost of losing business with certain customers with stringent environmental targets. These estimated figures are based on Aptiv total revenue, as disclosed in our 10-K, and calculations that assume a loss of business across certain customers with the most stringent renewable transition supplier targets or 100% renewable energy targets. Aptiv total revenue in 2024 was \$19,713,000,000, and no one customer represented more than 10% of total revenue. The minimum figure represents losing the one customer with renewable transition supplier targets:  $10\% \times \$19,713,000,000 = \$1,971,300,000$ . The maximum figure represents losing all of our customers who have 100% renewable energy targets:  $50\% \times \$19,713,000,000 = \$9,856,500,000$*

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Establish organization-wide targets

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

64000000

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, the cost to procure 100% renewable electricity globally is calculated by multiplying the current operational consumption (in megawatt-hours per year) by the cost of renewable energy certificates in each country and region. For each site needing electrification, Aptiv assumes \$1M has to be invested. The calculation also includes the investment to generate 10% more of electricity on site from Solar PV or other renewable energy technology. This scenario assumes a favorable cash flow for power purchase agreements (PPA) that will cover 100% of our EMEA operations.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*To align to our customers' requirements, Aptiv is committed to source 100% renewable energy for our global operations by 2030.*

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk5

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ India

☒ Brazil

☒ Mexico

☒ Morocco

☒ Honduras

☒ Thailand

☒ Indonesia

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Climate change increases the frequency of chronic or acute climate related hazards, such as flooding, storms and heat stress, and they could potentially impact and disrupt our operations, the safety of our personnel and people in our value chain and local communities.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Disruption in production capacity

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Long-term

#### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Very likely

#### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

#### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*Operational and supply chain disruption – 1 - 5 days of revenue for the sites located in extreme and high risk countries.*

#### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

2804000

#### (3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

14000000

#### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, we referenced the Climate Hazard and Vulnerability index from Verisk Maplecroft and identified all countries where Aptiv has operations with a Climate Hazard and Vulnerability score of 5.0 or less, which represents the countries with most risk (index scores closest to zero are of highest risk). We identified the following countries: -India -Honduras -Indonesia -Brazil -Morocco -Mexico -Thailand Historically, climate-related*

hazards have impacted Aptiv operations ranging from 1 - 5 business days. Therefore, we estimate the impact of this risk as ranging from 1 - 5 days of revenue generated within the six countries listed above - the risk of loss ranges from \$2.8M (one day of average revenue) - \$14M (five days of average revenue).

#### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☒ Implementing buffer stocks or dual sourcing

#### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

9700000

#### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, the anticipated cost to respond is given by the 10% increase in insurance cost in those affected countries.

#### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

Increased cost in facilities infrastructure due to climate adaptation

[Add row]

**(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.**

**Climate change**

#### (3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Revenue

### (3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

3942600000

### (3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ 21-30%

### (3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

14000000

### (3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, this estimated figure represents a potential cost of losing business with certain customers with stringent environmental targets. These estimated figures are based on Aptiv total revenue, as disclosed in our 10-K, and calculations that assume a loss of business across certain customers with the most stringent low carbon materials transition. Aptiv total revenue in 2024 was \$19,713,000,000, and no one customer represented more than 10% of total revenue. The maximum figure represents losing all of our customers who have targets to transition to low carbon materials:  $20\% \times \$19,713,000,000 = \$3,942,600,000$ . For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, we referenced the Climate Hazard and Vulnerability index from Verisk Maplecroft and identified all countries where Aptiv has operations with a Climate Hazard and Vulnerability score of 5.0 or less, which represents the countries with most risk (index scores closest to zero are of highest risk). We identified the following countries: -India -Honduras -Indonesia -Brazil -Morocco -Mexico -Thailand Historically, climate-related hazards have impacted Aptiv operations ranging from 1 - 5 business days. Therefore, we estimate the impact of this risk as ranging from 1 - 5 days of revenue generated within the six countries listed above - the risk of loss ranges from \$2.8M (one day of average revenue) - \$14M (five days of average revenue)..*

## Water

### (3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Revenue

### (3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

19000000

### (3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ 1-10%

### (3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

2700000

### (3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Water stress and drought could potentially pose operational risks in Mexico, where water supply can be suspended on any given day, leading to operational shutdowns. Aptiv is taking preventive measures by investing in water tanks to ensure a continuous water supply and prevent financial losses due to water shortages at its sites. For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, we used the disclosed North America revenue from our 10-K filing: \$6,934,000,000. Dividing this by 365 days, we estimate a daily revenue of approximately \$19,000,000. Assuming that 50% of our North American sites could be affected by water shortages, the potential daily impact is estimated at \$9,500,000. If such shortages occur for 2 to 5 days annually, the estimated financial impact would range from: 2 days \* \$9,500,000 = \$19,000,000

[Add row]

**(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?**

**Row 1**

**(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico

☒ Bravo

**(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Direct operations

**(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

10

**(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin**

*Select from:*

☒ 1-25%

**(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected**

*Select from:*

☒ 1-10%

**(3.2.11) Please explain**

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, according to the 2024 10-K, North America's total revenue was \$6.934 billion. With 48 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately \$145 million. By applying this average to the 1 site in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of \$19.713 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is less than 1%.*

## Row 2

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Amur

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, according to the 2024 10-K, Asia Pacific total revenue was \$5.722 billion. With 41 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately \$140 million. By applying this average to 1 site in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of \$19.713 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is less than 1%*

## Row 3

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

4

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ 1-10%

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, according to the 2024 10-K, Asia Pacific total revenue was \$5.722 billion. With 41 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately \$140 million. By applying this average to the 6 sites in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of \$19.713 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is 4%.*

## Row 4

### (3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Bulgaria

☒ Vardar

### (3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

### (3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

### (3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

### (3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (3.2.11) Please explain

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, according to the 2024 10-K, EMEA total revenue was \$6.489 billion. With 46 manufacturing sites in the region, the average revenue per site is approximately \$141 million. By applying this average to 1 site in the basin, and then dividing the result by the global total revenue of \$19.713 billion, we calculate that the impacted percentage of revenue is less than 1%.*

[Add row]

**(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?**

|  | Water-related regulatory violations                    | Comment                                                                                                                    |
|--|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <i>In 2024 we didn't received any penalization or fine related to water consumption, water discharge or water quality.</i> |

[Fixed row]

### (3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ No, but we anticipate being regulated in the next three years

#### (3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

*Identification of regional and country-specific legal requirements through systems like ISO 14001 and ISO 50001, in collaboration with our government affairs department. Assessment of the implications of new regulatory requirements, including compliance documentation, evidence gathering, penalties, and mechanisms for adhering to operations regulated by carbon pricing. Engagement with external consulting firms for personnel training and tool development to ensure compliance with new regulations*

### (3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

|                | Environmental opportunities identified                                                                                     |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Climate change | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized |

|       |                                                                                                                                   |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|       | Environmental opportunities identified                                                                                            |
| Water | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized |

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

## Climate change

### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

*Select from:*

☒ Opp1

### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☒ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

*Select from:*

☒ Downstream value chain

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ China
- ☒ Italy
- ☒ Japan
- ☒ Spain
- ☒ France
- ☒ Hungary
- ☒ Ireland
- ☒ Romania
- ☒ Portugal
- ☒ North Macedonia

- ☒ Poland
- ☒ Serbia
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Austria
- ☒ Germany
- ☒ Republic of Moldova

#### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Aptiv's products have already helped eliminate 330 million tons of CO2e emissions — demonstrating the power that vehicle electrification has to deliver a greener future of mobility. But to ensure that electric vehicles are sustainable over time, it's essential to optimize the most expensive asset on the vehicle: the battery. Our unique portfolio and capabilities make Aptiv the partner of choice for OEMs as they transition to optimized, electrified architectures. Increasing levels of technology and feature content are creating product and process complexity that only our Signal and Power Solutions business is capable of addressing at scale. Our full system solutions that are optimized for weight, size and total system cost from grid through vehicle.*

#### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

#### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term

#### (3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Very likely (90–100%)

### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ High

### (3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*The total revenue for our Signal and Power Solutions segment in 2024 was \$13,983,000,000 (source: 2024 10-K). Our Signal & Power Solutions segment is dedicated to providing industry-leading vehicle architecture solutions.*

### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

13983000000

### (3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

40000000000

### (3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

*The minimum financial impact is estimated based on the revenue of the Signal and Power Solutions business unit, as reported in our 2024 10-K filing. The maximum financial impact corresponds to the projected revenue for 2030, as presented during our 2023 Investor Conference (page 29)*

### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

33200000000

### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost of realizing this opportunity is based on a 17% margin from the projected \$40 billion in revenue by 2030, as outlined in our 2023 Investor Conference (page 29).

### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Optimized, full-system solutions that enable faster charging and greater range at lower costs, accelerating EV adoption. This is supported by automation through busbars, and innovative fastening solutions. Our engineered components ensure robust data and connectivity for mobility and other 'harsh environment' end markets. Our global footprint supports design and manufacturing close to customers, ensuring a consistent experience. We are further digitizing manufacturing processes and tools with data-driven insights that enhance productivity, while higher levels of automation support the quality, productivity, and miniaturization needed for next-gen architectures.

## Water

### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

☒ Increased resilience to impacts of climate change

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ Honduras

☒ India

- ☒ Mexico
- ☒ Morocco

#### (3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ Bravo

#### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Costs associated with water scarcity and extreme weather events are expected to significantly impact Aptiv's operations and revenue. Temporary shutdowns may occur in regions facing extreme water risk. Under current policies, Aptiv is likely to experience increased water supply shortages in countries such as Mexico and Morocco, as well as heightened flooding severity in countries like China, India, and Honduras*

#### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

#### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Medium-term

#### (3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

#### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

### (3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*Operational and supply chain disruption – 1 - 5 days of revenue for the sites located in extreme and high risk countries. Additionally, 10% increased insurance costs at sites located in extreme and high risk countries.*

### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

2803900

### (3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

14000000

### (3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

*We referenced the Climate Hazard and Vulnerability index from Verisk Maplecroft and identified all countries where Aptiv has operations with a Climate Hazard and Vulnerability score of 5.0 or less, which represents the countries with most risk (index scores closest to zero are of highest risk). We identified the following countries: -India -Honduras -Indonesia -Brazil -Morocco -Mexico*

### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

5900000

### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, a total investment of \$6 million is estimated to support climate adaptation initiatives across 59 operational sites located in Mexico, India, Indonesia, Honduras, Brazil, and Morocco. Each site is estimated to spend around \$100,000 to implement adaptation measures aimed at mitigating risks associated with water scarcity and flooding.*

### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

*Cost estimates for projects to ensure water supply in areas with extreme water scarcity, as well as for projects to prevent flooding that could damage building infrastructure in high-risk areas.*  
*[Add row]*

### **(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(3.6.2.1) Financial metric**

*Select from:*

☒ Revenue

##### **(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)**

13983000000

##### **(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

☒ 71-80%

##### **(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures**

*As disclosed in our 2024 10-K, the Signal and Power Solutions business unit reported a total revenue of \$13.98 billion, accounting for 71% of overall sales. Our Signal & Power Solutions business unit is dedicated to providing industry-leading vehicle architecture solutions, therefore directly benefiting from the transition to electrified vehicles.*

#### **Water**

##### **(3.6.2.1) Financial metric**

Select from:

☒ OPEX

### (3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

425000

### (3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, through our sustainability initiatives, we achieved savings of 170,000 cubic meters of water, resulting in an average cost reduction of \$2.5 per cubic meter.*

[Add row]

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

#### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ Annually

#### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

#### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

#### (4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

*The Nominating and Governance Committee recommends individuals for membership on the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee considers a candidate's character and expertise, performance, personal characteristics, diversity (inclusive of gender, race, ethnicity, and age), and professional responsibilities, and also reviews the composition of the Board relative to the long-term business strategy and the challenges and needs of the Board at that time. The Board is committed to searching for the best available candidates to fill vacancies and fully appreciates the value of diversity, viewed in its broadest sense, including gender, race, ethnicity, experience, leadership qualities, and education when evaluating prospective candidates. The Nominating and Governance Committee uses the same selection process and criteria for evaluating all nominees.*

[Fixed row]

#### **(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?**

##### **Climate change**

###### **(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### **Water**

###### **(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### **Biodiversity**

###### **(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

###### **(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

###### **(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

*The board is currently overseeing other environmental priorities, such as GHG emissions reductions and an increase in renewable share. Nevertheless, we believe biodiversity will also be a priority within the next 2 years.*

[Fixed row]

**(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Board chair

#### **(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes

#### **(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Individual role descriptions

#### **(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item**

*Select from:*

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### **(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

- ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*The Board has delegated oversight of management's handling of sustainability matters of importance to the Company, including risks, policies, strategies, and programs to the Nominating and Governance Committee, as set forth in the Nominating and Governance Committee Charter. The company publishes an annual Sustainability Report, which can be found at [aptiv.com/en/about/sustainability](https://aptiv.com/en/about/sustainability), and which is aligned with the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), and Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) frameworks.*

## Water

#### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

#### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Individual role descriptions

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### **(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

#### **(4.1.2.7) Please explain**

*The Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the goals the Company establishes with respect to sustainability matters and its progress against those goals, as well as the Company's Sustainability Report available on our website at [aptiv.com](http://aptiv.com) by clicking on the tab "About", then the heading "Sustainability". The Nominating and Governance Committee ensures that the other Committees of the Board, as appropriate, receive updates relevant to their continuing oversight on specific sustainability topics that otherwise fall within the charter of those Committees.*

*[Fixed row]*

### **(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?**

#### **Climate change**

#### **(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Yes

#### **(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- ☒ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- ☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

### (4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

☒ Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

## Water

### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Not assessed

[Fixed row]

### (4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

|                | Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue                                     | Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues          | Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Climate change | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                          | Select from:                                                                            | Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]                                                      |
| Water          | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                          | Select from:                                                                            | Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]                                                      |
| Biodiversity   | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority | Still assessing the requirements, risks, and opportunities on the subject.                           |

[Fixed row]

**(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

**Climate change**

**(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility**

Executive level

- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

**(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position**

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

**(4.3.1.4) Reporting line**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Reports to the board directly

**(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Annually

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*At Aptiv, sustainability is embedded into our operating system with oversight of our program driven from the top by our Board of Directors and CEO into every level of our organization. The Board has delegated to the Nominating and Governance Committee oversight of management's handling of Aptiv's ESG programs, including those addressing climate risk. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the goals the Company establishes with respect to ESG matters and its progress against those goals, as well as the Company's Sustainability Report available on our website at [aptiv.com](https://www.aptiv.com). The Nominating and Governance Committee helps ensure that the other Committees of the Board, as appropriate, receive updates relevant to their continuing oversight on specific ESG topics that otherwise fall within the charter of those Committees.*

## Water

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the board directly

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Aptiv's CFO is responsible for supporting financial disclosures related to climate change. Aptiv's ESG Controller reviews/inspects/verifies internal reporting and progress on climate change activities to achieve the target to become carbon neutral by 2040.*

### Climate change

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*Aptiv's CFO is responsible for supporting financial disclosures related to climate change. Aptiv's ESG Controller reviews/inspects/verifies internal reporting and progress on climate change activities to achieve the target to become carbon neutral by 2040.*

[Add row]

### (4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

#### Climate change

#### (4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

#### (4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

25

#### (4.5.3) Please explain

*Strategic Results Metric (25% Weighting). For 2024, the Compensation Committee approved that achievement on our strategic initiatives would constitute a 25% weighted metric ("Strategic Results Metric") to reinforce the importance of making significant progress on our strategic goals in the overall execution of our business strategy. The strategic goals under the Strategic Results Metric fall under the following three pillars - Business Foundation, Current Platforms and Future Platforms - and align with our broader strategic framework, including our sustainability program, and are intended to align focus across the organization on specific goals that drive long-term value creation*

## Water

### (4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

25

### (4.5.3) Please explain

*Strategic Results Metric (25% Weighting). For 2024, the Compensation Committee approved that achievement on our strategic initiatives would constitute a 25% weighted metric ("Strategic Results Metric") to reinforce the importance of making significant progress on our strategic goals in the overall execution of our business strategy. The strategic goals under the Strategic Results Metric fall under the following three pillars - Business Foundation, Current Platforms and Future Platforms - and align with our broader strategic framework, including our sustainability program, and are intended to align focus across the organization on specific goals that drive long-term value creation*

[Fixed row]

**(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

## Climate change

### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

*Select all that apply*

☒ Bonus – set figure

### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☒ Reduction in emissions intensity

☒ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

*Select from:*

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*The CEO's annual incentive compensation is contingent upon achieving certain sustainability targets (in addition to consideration for achieving other company performance targets).*

### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*The reductions in emissions incentives are in line with our goal of being carbon neutral by 2040.*

## Water

### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

#### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

*Select all that apply*

☒ Bonus – set figure

#### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Progress towards environmental targets

☒ Achievement of environmental targets

Resource use and efficiency

☒ Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

*Select from:*

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*Our Annual Incentive Plan is designed to motivate our NEOs (named executive officers) to drive profitable growth, including earnings and cash flow, and our strategic priorities by measuring the NEOs' performance against our goals the payouts can range from 0% to 200% of target and are determined by achievement of pre-established financial goals and strategic objectives including to drive our current and future platforms and Aptiv's sustainability commitments through strategic goals.*

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*The reductions in emissions incentives are in line with our water reduction goals*

*[Add row]*

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

|  |                                                         |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Does your organization have any environmental policies? |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes |

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

##### Environmental commitments

- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- ☒ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- ☒ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

##### Climate-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to 100% renewable energy
- ☒ Commitment to net-zero emissions
- ☒ Commitment to not funding climate-denial or lobbying against climate regulations
- ☒ Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Energy management and energy efficiency

##### Additional references/Descriptions

- ☒ Description of environmental requirements for procurement

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- ☒ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- ☒ Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

EHS\_and\_Energy\_Policy\_April042025\_Additional \_Information V\_APril04\_2025.pdf

Row 2

#### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Water

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

*Select from:*

☒ Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Direct operations

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*All Aptiv's manufacturing sites*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☒ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

*Select all that apply*

☒ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

*Select from:*

☒ Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

*ehs-and-energy-policy-april-2025.pdf*

[Add row]

#### (4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

##### (4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### (4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☒ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

##### (4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

*As part of Aptiv's sustainability objectives, we have committed to reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 100 percent by 2030 from a 2021 base year. We also commit to reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 47.4 percent within the same timeframe. SBTi has classified Aptiv's scope 1 and 2 target ambition as in line with a 1.5°C trajectory.*

[Fixed row]

#### (4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

##### (4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

#### **(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

#### **(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register**

Select from:

☒ No

#### **(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan**

*Aptiv's sustainability team, including the ESG Controller, perform due diligence in order to report the most accurate information related to our sustainability commitments.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.**

#### **Row 1**

##### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

##### **(4.11.2.4) Trade association**

Europe

☒ German Automotive Association (VDA)

#### **(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

#### **(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

Select from:

☒ Consistent

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*On the path to climate-neutral mobility, the electric car has a clear priority. The German automotive industry is investing massively in research, development, and production of EVs, these targets are aligned with Aptiv's vision to design technologies to reduce emissions, increase fuel economy, and minimize the environmental impact of vehicles. Our platforms enable customers to accelerate the path to the fully electrified, software-defined vehicle. Aptiv's Smart Vehicle Architecture (SVA) enables OEM partners to reduce weight, mass, labor, and, ultimately, CO2 emissions*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

32471.71

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*The German automotive industry is committed to the fight against climate change and it is aligned with the European Union position to cut emissions from cars 55% and from vans 50% by 2030, compared with 2021, in order to reach the goal of zero emissions from new cars and vans by 2035.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Paris Agreement

### **Row 2**

#### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

*Select from:*

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### **(4.11.2.4) Trade association**

Global

☒ Other global trade association, please specify :Automotive Industry Action Group

#### **(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Climate change

#### **(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

*Select from:*

☒ Consistent

**(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

**(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Aptiv works mainly with two organizations: CLEPA (European Association of Automotive Suppliers) and AIAG (Automotive Industry Action Group). As tier 1 suppliers of the automotive industry, we search with these alliances to share knowledge to reduce our GHG emissions and propose strategies to the OEMs on how to improve the reporting of our climate-related activities, how to improve the calculations of the CO2 footprint with our suppliers and how we can achieve carbon neutrality as industry*

**(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

67899

**(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*Aptiv is co-founder of AIAG, the funding is meant to maintain it and ensure it keeps working.*

**(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Paris Agreement

### Row 3

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

*Select from:*

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☒ Other global trade association, please specify :CLEPA

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

*Select all that apply*

☒ Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

*Select from:*

☒ Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

**(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Aptiv works mainly with two organizations: CLEPA (European Association of Automotive Suppliers) and AIAG (Automotive Industry Action Group). As tier 1 suppliers of the automotive industry, we search with these alliances to share knowledge to reduce our GHG emissions and propose strategies to the OEMs on how to improve the reporting of our climate-related activities, how to improve the calculations of the CO2 footprint with our suppliers and how we can achieve carbon neutrality as industry*

**(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

52500

**(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*CLEPA, the European Association of Automotive Suppliers, supports the introduction of CO2 emission standards as part of the EU's objectives to reach climate neutrality by 2050.*

**(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

[Add row]

**(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

## Row 1

### (4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In mainstream reports

### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Strategy

☒ Emission targets

### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

*2025\_Aptiv\_Web\_AnnualReport.pdf*

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*[Add row]*

## C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Annually

### Water

#### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Annually

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ IEA APS

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Liability

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 2.5°C - 2.9°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2025
- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2040
- ☒ 2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- ☒ Cost of capital

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Global regulation
- ☒ Global targets

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*This scenario could lead to changes in customer demands related to climate change, renewable energy, and decarbonization. Additionally, it could drive up energy prices and heighten consumer awareness of energy consumption and costs. Considering this scenario, we could expect a rapid acceleration and broadening of customer requirements and policies. On a worldwide basis, the relevant authorities in the largest markets in which we operate will institute regulations requiring reductions in emissions and/or increased fuel economy.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*According to the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6), current policy coverage across sectors is uneven. The policies currently in place are projected to result in higher global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2030, highlighting an 'implementation gap.' Without a significant strengthening of these policies, global warming is projected to reach 3.2°C [2.2 to 3.5°C] by 2100, with a high likelihood that the 1.5°C threshold will be exceeded during the 21st century.*

## Water

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

☒ WWF Water Risk Filter

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Country/area

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Liability

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☒ Cost of capital

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

☒ Level of action (from local to global)

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Water scarcity is expected to drive the adoption of water resiliency measures, such as water storage tanks and water treatment facilities, in operational installations. Additionally, areas with extreme water risks could potentially see an increase in regulations and costs aimed at enhancing water efficiency and reducing consumption.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*Costs associated with water scarcity and extreme weather events are expected to significantly impact Aptiv's operations and revenue. Temporary shutdowns may occur in regions facing extreme water risk. Under current policies, Aptiv is likely to experience increased water supply shortages in countries such as Mexico and Morocco, as well as heightened flooding severity in countries like China, India, and Honduras*

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 4.5

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ SSP2

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Liability

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 2.5°C - 2.9°C

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2025

- ☑ 2030
- ☑ 2040
- ☑ 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- ☑ Cost of capital
- ☑ Other finance and insurance driving forces, please specify

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☑ Consumer attention to impact

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☑ Global regulation
- ☑ Global targets

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Policy and Regulation: Governments worldwide are expected to implement measures aimed at curbing emissions in the transport sector, including stricter fuel efficiency standards, incentives for electric vehicles (EVs), carbon pricing mechanisms, and phased bans on internal combustion engines (ICE) in key markets. Consumer Trends: Demand for low-emission vehicles is steadily growing, with consumers increasingly favoring EVs, hybrids, and more fuel-efficient ICE models as awareness of environmental concerns rises. Technology: Rapid advancements in EV battery technology, hydrogen fuel cells, and autonomous driving systems are significantly enhancing energy efficiency and driving innovation in the automotive sector. Energy Supply: The shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources is accelerating, with the automotive industry focusing on the decarbonization of both vehicle manufacturing and operation, including the integration of renewable energy into electricity grids. Manufacturing Emissions: Automotive companies are prioritizing emission reductions in vehicle production by enhancing energy efficiency, adopting renewable energy sources, and minimizing waste throughout their operations. R&D Investment: Significant investments are being directed toward battery technology, hydrogen fuel cells, and lightweight materials to enable further reductions in vehicle emissions and support long-term sustainability goals.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 4.5 (Representative Concentration Pathway) envisions moderate mitigation efforts, leading to the stabilization of radiative forcing at 4.5 W/m<sup>2</sup> by 2100. In this scenario, global temperatures are expected to rise by approximately 2.4°C to 3°C by the end of the century, with controlled but ongoing increases in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Challenges: The transition to sustainable transportation poses several challenges, including the high upfront costs of building EV infrastructure, consumer hesitance in developing markets, supply chain vulnerabilities (e.g., battery material shortages), and the slow pace of regulatory changes. Opportunities: There are significant opportunities for growth, such as establishing technological leadership in electric vehicles (EVs), forging partnerships in renewable energy, and aligning with global decarbonization goals. These efforts can boost brand reputation and attract greater investor confidence.

[Add row]

## **(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Capacity building
- ☒ Target setting and transition planning

#### **(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis**

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

#### **(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues**

No comment

### **Water**

#### **(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☒ Resilience of business model and strategy

#### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

*Although our operations are not water-intensive, we work hard to reduce water consumption at sites located in regions with water scarcity, through process improvements and water-capture initiatives*  
[Fixed row]

### (5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

#### (5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

#### (5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (5.2.5) Description of activities included in commitment and implementation of commitment

*The Board has delegated oversight of management's handling of sustainability matters of importance to the Company, including risks, policies, strategies, and programs to the Nominating and Governance Committee, as set forth in the Nominating and Governance Committee Charter.*

### **(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan**

*Select from:*

☒ We do not have a feedback mechanism in place, but we plan to introduce one within the next two years

### **(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies**

*Stricter customer demands related to climate change, renewable energy, and decarbonization. Increase in energy prices and heightened consumer awareness of energy consumption and costs. Considering this scenario, we expect accelerated broadening of customer requirements and policies. On a worldwide basis, the relevant authorities in the largest markets in which we operate are likely to institute regulations requiring reductions in emissions and/or increased fuel economy.*

### **(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period**

*We are on track to achieve our commitment to reduce 25% of scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2025. At the end of 2024 we reduced scope 1 and 2 emissions by 22% compared to the 2021 baseline.*

### **(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)**

*2025\_Aptiv\_Digital\_SustainabilityReport\_1920x1080\_Consolidated\_v27.pdf*

### **(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Water

### **(5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan**

*Water targets in high-risk water areas and compliance with best water management practices globally*  
*[Fixed row]*

## **(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?**

### (5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

### (5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- ☒ Products and services  
☒ Upstream/downstream value chain  
☒ Investment in R&D  
☒ Operations

[Fixed row]

### (5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

#### Products and services

#### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks  
☒ Opportunities

#### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

#### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Time horizon: short, medium term. Continuously increasing social and environmental consciousness among consumers have led us to identify three “mega-trends” (the internal word we use to describe macro-projections), among which the most important one is the “Green” mega-trend. This trend designates technologies

designed to help reduce emissions, increase fuel economy, minimize the environmental impact of vehicles, as well as our general portfolio of solutions for electric vehicles. Climate related risk and opportunities have very much influenced our products and services and influenced the company targets and ambition levels short, mid-, and long term. We are developing key enabling technologies in the areas of vehicle charging and vehicle power distribution and control that are essential to the introduction of our customers' electrified vehicle platforms. We are also enabling the trend towards vehicle electrification with high voltage electrification solutions that reduce CO2 emissions and increase fuel economy, helping to make the world greener. In November 2022, Aptiv acquired 85% of Intercable Automotive Solutions S.r.l. for approximately \$606 million. As an industry leader in high voltage power distribution and interconnect technology, we expect Intercable Automotive Solutions to enhance Aptiv's position as a leader in vehicle architecture systems that enhance our customers transition to electric vehicles. Additionally, in December 2022 we acquired Wind River for approximately \$3.5 billion. With Aptiv and Wind River's synergistic technologies and decades of experience, we expect to expand vehicle automation, and therefore the environmental improvements and the reduction in CO2 emissions resulting from optimized driving behavior.

## Upstream/downstream value chain

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Time horizon: Short-term. While assessing climate related risks and opportunities, Aptiv recognized the value in understanding CO2 impact of our products. Between 2023 - 2024, Aptiv has prepared over 50 product carbon footprints (PCF) calculations of its products to understand the potential climate change impact and in which phase of the life cycle of its products more CO2 emissions are emitted. As a result, Aptiv has been able to identify and work towards reducing the main sources of CO2 emissions in its products. Since 2022, Aptiv's supply chain department have identified platforms and tools to engage suppliers to disclose their climate change activities and CO2 emissions. Aptiv has engaged with a 3rd party expert to request climate change performance metrics from our supplier partners and the strategy has been deployed. In addition, Aptiv is in collaboration with strategic OEM customers to investigate, design and develop low carbon materials (specifically for low carbon copper and plastic content in our wiring harness products).

## Investment in R&D

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*Time horizon: Medium-term. According to Aptiv's understanding, R&D is the key to a climate-neutral world, which is one of the reasons why our total investment in research and development, including engineering, was approximately \$1.6 billion, \$1.8 billion and \$1.5 billion for the years ended December 31, 2024, 2023 and 2022, respectively, which includes approximately \$535 million, \$492 million and \$379 million of co-investment by customers and government agencies. Each year we share some engineering expenses with OEMs and government agencies which generally range from 25% to 35% of engineering expenses. This level of co-investment supports product development, accelerates the pace of innovation and reduces the risk associated with successful commercialization of technological breakthroughs. We also encourage "open innovation" and collaborate extensively with peers in the industry, government agencies and academic institutions. In 2022 we achieved the completion of recycled copper quality testing, which validated performance as levels met or exceeded required standards.*

## Operations

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Time horizon: short and medium-term. Climate change scenarios risk and opportunities are critical for decision making of the procurement of renewable energy in our operations. In 2024, we sourced 43% of our operational energy from renewable sources and installed on-site renewable energy generation at four additional locations. This brought the total number of sites with on-site generation to 23 globally, collectively preventing the emission of 13,565.70 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> in 2024. This assessment also led to the signing of a virtual Power Purchase Agreement (vPPA) in Europe and the procurement of Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) in Brazil, China, and Singapore. We are increasingly subject to environmental regulations at both national and sub-national levels, covering air emissions, water discharge, hazardous materials, and waste management. As a strategic response, Aptiv has adopted a multilayered approach. For example, all Aptiv manufacturing sites are now certified to the ISO 14001 international standard, which supports the measurement and continuous improvement of environmental impact. Additionally, we achieved 100% renewable electricity usage in 48 sites globally—representing 32% of all manufacturing and technical centers—marking significant progress toward our goal of sourcing 100% renewable energy worldwide by 2030. In addition, in terms of waste reduction, we reached an 84% recycling rate, surpassing our 2025 target

[Add row]

**(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.**

**Row 1**

**(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Revenues
- ☒ Indirect costs
- ☒ Capital allocation

**(5.3.2.2) Effect type**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

**(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

#### (5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

*Environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. Specifically, increased public awareness and concern regarding global climate change may continue to result in more international, regional, federal, state and local requirements, or pressure from key stakeholders, to reduce or mitigate climate change, which could impose significant operational restrictions, costs and compliance burdens upon our business or our products. While we have budgeted for future capital and operating expenditures to maintain compliance with environmental laws and regulations, we cannot ensure that environmental laws and regulations will not change or become more stringent in the future. Therefore, we cannot ensure that our costs of complying with current and future environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, and our liabilities arising from past or future releases of, or exposure to, hazardous substances will not adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. For example, adoption of GHG or climate change rules in jurisdictions in which we operate facilities could require installation of emission controls, acquisition of emission credits, emission reductions, or other measures that could be costly, and could also impact utility rates and increase the amount we spend annually for energy. Furthermore, if we fail to achieve our sustainability goals and reduce our impact on the environment, or if there becomes a public perception that we have failed to act responsibly regarding climate change and sustainability, we could be exposed to negative publicity, which could adversely affect our business and reputation.*

[Add row]

#### (5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

|  |                                                                                                |
|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years   |

[Fixed row]

#### (5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?

##### (5.5.1) Investment in low-carbon R&D

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.5.2) Comment

As disclosed in our 2024 10-K, our total investment in research and development, including engineering, was approximately \$1.6 billion, \$1.8 billion and \$1.5 billion for the years ended December 31, 2024, 2023 and 2022, respectively, which includes approximately \$535 million, \$492 million and \$379 million of co-investment by customers and government agencies. Each year we share some engineering expenses with OEMs and government agencies which generally ranges from 25% to 35% of engineering expenses  
[Fixed row]

(5.5.2) Provide details of your organization’s investments in low-carbon R&D for capital goods products and services over the last three years.

Row 1

(5.5.2.1) Technology area

Select from:

☒ Electromobility components

(5.5.2.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Applied research and development

(5.5.2.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

25

(5.5.2.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

35

### (5.5.2.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

*Copper has long been an important material for vehicle electrical architectures, and it will become critical as the industry moves toward fully electric vehicles. But as automotive companies work to reduce their impact on the environment, many are looking to transition to more sustainable solutions. Using recycled copper is a promising way to help with those efforts. The process of recycling copper emits less carbon than the process of mining and refining copper, and obviously the benefit increases substantially as the thickness of the conductor increases. For example, Aptiv estimates that producing a kilometer of 6 mm<sup>2</sup> cable from recycled copper instead of mined copper can save 162 kg of CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions, while producing a kilometer of 70 mm<sup>2</sup> cable can save 2,022 kg of emissions – that's equivalent to the carbon emitted from driving a typical internal combustion-powered vehicle nearly 5,000 miles. When Aptiv Eco-Core recycled copper is used in a typical high-voltage wiring harness that includes cables at 6 mm<sup>2</sup>, 8 mm<sup>2</sup>, 50 mm<sup>2</sup> and 70 mm<sup>2</sup>, the per-harness CO<sub>2</sub>e savings is about 72 percent, or 17 kg. For a program that includes 119,000 harnesses per year, an OEM could reduce its carbon emissions by 2,000 metric tons annually by switching to recycled copper. To put that into context, one tree on average will absorb a ton of CO<sub>2</sub> over its lifetime, so a company would have to plant 2,000 trees per year to achieve the same result without using recycled materials.*

### Row 3

#### (5.5.2.1) Technology area

Select from:

☒ Machinery automation

#### (5.5.2.2) Stage of development in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Full/commercial-scale demonstration

#### (5.5.2.3) Average % of total R&D investment over the last 3 years

25

#### (5.5.2.5) Average % of total R&D investment planned over the next 5 years

30

#### **(5.5.2.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan**

*Advanced driver-assistance systems (ADAS) are electronic systems in a vehicle that use advanced technologies to assist the driver. They can include many active safety features, and often the terms “ADAS” and “active safety” are used interchangeably. Aptiv’s Gen 6 ADAS platform is available both as a turnkey solution and as individual components. Our intelligent approach to the full system results in up to 25% lower cost and 60% lower energy consumption than typical alternatives – but each piece of the platform is designed to add value to any ADAS configuration.*

*[Add row]*

#### **(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?**

##### **(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)**

60900

##### **(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)**

64000

##### **(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)**

6370000

##### **(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)**

6688000

##### **(5.9.5) Please explain**

*For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, CAPEX costs encompass projects such as decentralizing water heating systems, repairing leaks in underground water pipes, improving water efficiency in manufacturing processes, reusing water in restrooms and HVAC systems, and implementing rainwater harvesting. OPEX costs cover expenses related to industrial wastewater discharge permits, potable and wastewater quality testing, water treatment, maintenance*

activities for cooling towers, potable water filtration and water spending consumption. We anticipate a 5% increase next year for CAPEX and OPEX spend related to water.

[Fixed row]

## (5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

|  | Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities                                              | Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities                           | Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities                              |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure | <i>We are currently establishing the appropriate criteria and pricing for carbon in new projects.</i> |

[Fixed row]

## (5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

|                                | Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues         | Environmental issues covered                                                       |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Suppliers                      | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <i>Select all that apply</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |
| Customers                      | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <i>Select all that apply</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |
| Investors and shareholders     | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <i>Select all that apply</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |
| Other value chain stakeholders | <i>Select from:</i>                                            | <i>Select all that apply</i>                                                       |

|  | Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues | Environmental issues covered                       |
|--|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |

[Fixed row]

### **(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?**

#### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

#### **(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

#### **(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed**

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### **(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

All suppliers that spending is included in our scope 3 calculations. 10% of all suppliers can be considered leaders in carbon accounting. These suppliers have a strong grasp of GHG reporting and can provide PCFs on request. Nearly all of this group have a clear emissions reduction target with 63% either having or committing to set an SBT, and all having some form of decarbonization strategy.

#### (5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### (5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

11

[Fixed row]

### (5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

#### Climate change

#### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Material sourcing

☒ Procurement spend

☒ Product lifecycle

☒ Regulatory compliance

☒ Business risk mitigation

☒ Leverage over suppliers

- ☒ Strategic status of suppliers
- ☒ Product safety and compliance
- ☒ Supplier performance improvement
- ☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*Aptiv has engaged with its priority suppliers using a supplier engagement survey and used the results of this survey to segment suppliers based on maturity. Aptiv has also engaged with a subset of suppliers individually to understand their approaches to sustainability and decarbonization in more detail. Additionally, all key direct suppliers either signed their agreement with Aptiv's Supplier Code of Conduct or affirmed compliance with their own comparable code. We prioritize suppliers based on factors such as environmental impact (including contributions to Scope 3 emissions), spending and the risks and opportunities within our value chain strategy.*  
*[Fixed row]*

### (5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

#### Climate change

#### (5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

#### (5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- ☒ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

#### (5.11.5.3) Comment

*All key direct suppliers either signed their agreement with Aptiv's Supplier Code of Conduct or affirmed compliance with their own comparable code. We prioritize suppliers based on factors such as environmental impact (including contributions to Scope 3 emissions), spending and the risks and opportunities within our value chain strategy.*  
*[Fixed row]*

**(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.**

## **Climate change**

### **(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1, 2 and 3)

### **(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Supplier self-assessment

### **(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ 100%

### **(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ 51-75%

### **(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

#### (5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

#### (5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

#### (5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

#### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*Aptiv is transitioning away from a spend-based calculation methodology for its supplier greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, in favor of using supplier Product Carbon Footprints (PCFs) and actual supplier emissions data. To begin collecting this data, Aptiv has worked with a third-party specialist to assess supplier maturity. Initially Aptiv and the third-party specialist sent a 16-question questionnaire to 187 key suppliers. The questionnaire gathered insights on supplier approaches to sustainability, supplier Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions, and the calculation and availability of PCF relating to parts sold to Aptiv. The suppliers involved in the survey were chosen through a prioritization exercise with EcoVadis. The questionnaire had a response rate of 56%, with 105 suppliers responding, which is higher than the typical average survey response rate of 44.3%.*

### Climate change

#### (5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Reporting against a sustainability index (e.g., DJSI, CDP etc.)

#### **(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Supplier scorecard or rating

#### **(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ 26-50%

#### **(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ 26-50%

#### **(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ 76-99%

#### **(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Retain and engage

#### (5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

#### (5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

#### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*Aptiv EcoVadis Carbon Campaign – Strategic Supplier Engagement Engagement with Priority 1 suppliers to capture additional strategic spend through targeted outreach efforts. Key Highlights: Scope Coverage: Approximately 80% of direct material spend (based on 24-month spend data for 2024) Supplier Participation: 53% of targeted suppliers have completed EcoVadis scorecards Environmental Performance: 91% of participating suppliers achieved Environment scores above 45 Engagement Impact A positive correlation was observed between suppliers who attended the Decarbonization Forum and those who completed the EcoVadis questionnaire Strategic Focus: High-spend suppliers are prioritized to maximize addressable spend and drive decarbonization impact*  
[Add row]

### (5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

#### Climate change

#### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ Circular economy

#### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☒ Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

☒ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

☒ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

#### **(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage**

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### **(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement**

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### **(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement**

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### **(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action**

*We ask our suppliers to provide solutions that incorporate recycled or bio-based materials, or reduce product weight. This engagement helps us identify new solutions and technologies, assess their environmental impact and costs, evaluate their market maturity and availability, and explore how these innovations can be integrated into our products.*

#### **(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Recycled content and biobased materials

#### **(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action**

Select from:

☒ Yes

## **Water**

#### **(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Supplier code of conduct, compliance of water quality and water reduction

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Emissions reduction

#### **(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement**

Capacity building

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions  
☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

Information collection

- ☒ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services  
☒ Collaborate with suppliers to develop reuse infrastructure and reuse models

#### **(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### **(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement**

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### **(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement**

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

#### **(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action**

*We request to our strategic suppliers provide low-carbon materials and components, with a focus on copper cables, plastics, and resins. We also ask them to disclose the carbon footprint of their materials and the methodology used for its calculation. Additionally, we encourage our suppliers to increase their use of renewable energy and electrify their operations to help reduce the carbon footprint of our products.*

#### **(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Low carbon materials

#### **(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action**

Select from:

☒ Yes

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement**

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain transparency and human rights

#### **(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement**

Capacity building

☒ Develop or distribute resources on how to map upstream value chain

#### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### (5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

All key direct suppliers either signed their agreement with Aptiv's Supplier Code of Conduct or affirmed compliance with their own comparable code.

#### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

#### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

[Add row]

## **(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder**

Select from:

☒ Customers

#### **(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement**

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

#### **(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged**

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

#### **(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions**

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

#### **(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement**

*Based on the current regulatory environment, we believe that OEMs, including those in the U.S. and China, will be subject to requirements for even greater reductions in carbon dioxide ("CO2") emissions over the next ten years. For example, in the U.S., the Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") proposed new rules in 2023 that could require as much as 67% of all light-duty vehicles and 46% of medium-duty vehicles sold in the U.S. by model year 2032 to be all-electric, and the California Air Resources Board approved rules in 2022, which require that all new passenger cars and light trucks sold in California be electric vehicles or other emissions free models by 2035. In 2021, the EPA also finalized more stringent GHG emissions standards for passenger car and light trucks for model years 2023-2026. These and other standards will require meaningful innovation as OEMs and suppliers are challenged to find ways to improve engine management, electrical power consumption, vehicle weight and integration of electric vehicles and alternative technologies. As a result, suppliers are developing innovations that result in significant improvements in fuel economy, emissions and performance from internal combustion engines and electric vehicles. At the same time, suppliers are also developing and marketing new and alternative technologies that support electric vehicles, hybrid vehicles and fuel cell products to improve fuel economy and emissions. We are*

developing key enabling technologies in the areas of vehicle charging and vehicle power distribution and control that are essential to the introduction of our customers' electrified vehicle platforms. We are also enabling the trend towards vehicle electrification with high voltage electrification solutions that reduce CO2 emissions and increase fuel economy, helping to make the world greener.

#### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement with customers and new business opportunities has led to revenue growth and record-breaking business bookings. In 2024, we distributed and presented our sustainability report to 16 out of our 42 customers, focusing on the top 20 largest customers by revenue. During this process, we gathered customer feedback and implemented action plans as part of our Customer Strategy Review process to align with their climate change requirements and ambitions. Additionally, we have been proactively engaging with customers to ensure their satisfaction on ESG topics and to better understand and quantify their sustainability goals and expectations.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Investors and shareholders

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

#### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

#### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ None

#### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*In addition to the publication of the sustainability report, we update our shareholders on the progress of our sustainability targets, achievements, and innovations through Investor Day events, Annual Stewardship Outreach, and ongoing ESG-related engagements. We also communicate how our business strategy is driving innovation in developing sustainable products and services to power a software-defined future, while contributing to a zero-emissions future.*

#### **(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success**

*An increase in % of ownership with ESG-related investors and indices; increase in engagement with new investors*

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder**

*Select from:*

☒ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Suppliers

#### **(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement**

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- ☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- ☒ Run a campaign to encourage innovation to reduce environmental impacts

#### **(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged**

*Select from:*

☒ 51-75%

#### **(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions**

*Select from:*

☒ 51-75%

#### **(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement**

We collaborate with our suppliers to align their sustainability targets with ours and to jointly develop capabilities to achieve these goals. In 2023, we rolled out Aptiv's sustainability training video to 100% of our key direct suppliers. Additionally, all key direct suppliers either agreed to adhere to Aptiv's Supplier Code of Conduct or affirmed compliance with their own comparable code. We prioritize suppliers based on factors such as environmental impact (including contributions to Scope 3 emissions), spending and the risks and opportunities within our value chain strategy.

#### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Supplier proposals to offer low carbon and high recycled content solutions and materials. Supplier engagement to increase their renewable energy share, calculation of their GHG emissions and target alignment to what the industry is requiring. Cascade this strategy down to tier 2, tier 3, and tier 4 suppliers.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Communities

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Other education/information sharing, please specify

#### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ None

#### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Actions around the world to eliminate waste, protect fresh water, reduce our carbon footprint and help the communities where we operate and encourage meaningful employee participation in community outreach.

#### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Plant 80,000 trees per year (480,000 total trees by 2025). Support global reforestation (# of trees planted).

[Add row]

### (5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

#### Row 1

#### (5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Stellantis N.V.

#### (5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

#### (5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Innovation

☒ New product or service that reduces customers' operational emissions

#### (5.12.5) Details of initiative

Achieve a 50% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 2030, with a primary focus on copper and electronic components

#### (5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

☒ Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

☒ 3-5 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

☒ Yes, lifetime CO2e savings only

(5.12.9) Estimated lifetime CO2e savings

156237.67

(5.12.11) Please explain

For purposes of providing an estimate for our CDP submission only, the calculation is based on the customer revenue and Aptiv's scope 3 calculations.  
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

|  |                                                                                 |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                         |

[Fixed row]

**(5.13.1) Specify the CDP Supply Chain members that have prompted your implementation of mutually beneficial environmental initiatives and provide information on the initiatives.**

**Row 1**

**(5.13.1.1) Requesting member**

*Select from:*

☒ BMW AG

**(5.13.1.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Climate change

**(5.13.1.4) Initiative ID**

*Select from:*

☒ Ini1

**(5.13.1.5) Initiative category and type**

Change to supplier operations

☒ Increase proportion of renewable energy purchased

**(5.13.1.6) Details of initiative**

*To achieve 100% renewable energy at the start of operation of new facilities.*

**(5.13.1.7) Benefits achieved**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)

**(5.13.1.8) Are you able to provide figures for emissions savings or water savings in the reporting year?**

Select from:

☒ Yes, emissions savings only

**(5.13.1.9) Estimated savings in the reporting year in metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e**

15392.27

**(5.13.1.11) Please explain how success for this initiative is measured**

*Requirement to source 100% renewable electricity at the start of operations in Szombathely, Hungary, and Reynosa, Mexico has resulted in an annual reduction of 15,392.27 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.*

**(5.13.1.12) Would you be happy for CDP Supply Chain members to highlight this work in their external communication?**

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

## C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

|                | Consolidation approach used                                             | Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach                                                    |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Climate change | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control | <i>The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.</i> |
| Water          | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control | <i>The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.</i> |
| Plastics       | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control | <i>The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.</i> |
| Biodiversity   | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control | <i>The choice of consolidation approach is consistent with financial accounting and sustainability reporting.</i> |

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

|  |                                                                 |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Has there been a structural change?                             |
|  | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

☒ No, but we have discovered significant errors in our previous response(s)

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

*Total Scope 1 GHG emissions for FY2023 has been restated as a result of the following: Propane has become a more material source of Scope 1 GHG inventory in the current period, therefore in line with our policy we have included an estimate of 1,540 tCO<sub>2</sub>e for propane in our FY2024 Scope 1 GHG emissions and we have restated FY2023 Scope 1 GHG and total emissions by including an estimate of 1,289 tCO<sub>2</sub>e for propane in the prior year. Total Scope 3 GHG emissions for FY2023 has been restated as a result of the following: During the process of calculating FY2024 GHG emissions for Category 11: Use of Sold Products, we discovered that our process did not fully capture source data (weight of products sold). We enhanced our process to ensure a complete capture of source data, which has allowed for a more accurate calculation of Use of Sold Products. Total Scope 3 GHG emissions for FY2023 are restated to 29,044,318 tCO<sub>2</sub>e, an increase of 16,888,893 tCO<sub>2</sub>e compared to previously reported amounts. We continue to improve our data management system in order to report the most accurate information. (Aptiv 2025 Sustainability Index Report, page 2)*

[Fixed row]

### **(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?**

#### **(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation**

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### **(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated**

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

#### **(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold**

*According to our policies and procedures for "Scope 3 Criteria", we adjust our base year emissions inventory to account for significant changes, described below. Recalculations are triggered by: • Structural changes (e.g., acquisitions) • Methodological updates • Significant errors (threshold: ±5% for Scope 1 & 2; ±10% for Scope 3)*

#### **(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation**

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

**(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.**

Select all that apply

☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

**(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.**

**(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based**

Select from:

☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

**(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based**

Select from:

☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

**(7.3.3) Comment**

*Aptiv's GHG emission reduction target for scope 1 & 2 is market-based, however both market-based and location-based scope 2 amounts are calculated and reported.*

[Fixed row]

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.**

**Row 1**

**(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions**

*Refrigerants, fossil fuel consumed in fire protection equipment, backup power systems and company vehicles.*

**(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Scope 1

**(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source**

*Select from:*

☒ Emissions are not relevant

**(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents**

5

**(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded**

*Complete, accurate and sufficient data did not exist to provide a validated figure for the excluded items.*

**(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents**

*Based on internal records.*

*[Add row]*

**(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.**

## Scope 1

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20388.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “GHG Emissions Scope 1 and Scope 2 Criteria,” Scope 1 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol. For example, fossil fuel consumption data is obtained from Aptiv’s sustainability system and country-specific emission factors are applied accordingly. The emission factors used are sourced from Base-Carbone – ADEME Bilans GES.*

## Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

361151.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “GHG Emissions Scope 1 and Scope 2 Criteria,” Scope 2 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol. For example, electricity consumption data is sourced from Aptiv’s sustainability system and emission factors are applied according to the facility country. For location-based calculations, emission factors from the International Energy Agency (IEA) are used.*

## Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

## **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

344006

## **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*According to our policies and procedures for “GHG Emissions Scope 1 and Scope 2 Criteria,” Scope 2 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol. For example, this involves applying instruments such as Energy Attribute Certificates (RECs, GOs, I-REC), Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), and green electricity products, supported by evidence including contracts, invoices, on-site generation records, and certified RECs. Emission factors from the International Energy Agency (IEA) are used in the calculation.*

## **Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2021

## **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

6578045

## **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions are reported according to our policies and procedures. For example, “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 1: Purchased goods and services are calculated following the GHG Protocol. Aptiv applies a spend-based approach for each product category, inclusive of both direct and indirect spend. A monetary emission factor is assigned to each category, and data is extracted from Aptiv’s ERP system. For one of Aptiv’s subsidiaries, the total weight of purchased goods and services is multiplied by approved secondary emission factors. The currency used is Euro (€), with USD-to-EUR conversion based on the yearly average exchange rate provided by OFX. Emission factors are sourced from Base Carbone (ADEME – Bilans GES) and Ecoinvent 3.11.*

## **Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2021

## (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

385669

## (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 2: Capital Goods are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv considers capital goods a minor contributor to its carbon footprint. The depreciation was calculated using total amortization and depreciation expenses for the reporting year, applying an average monetary emission factor of 530 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e per €1,000. This factor was derived from ADEME data and assumes expenses are split between building construction (360 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/€1,000) and machinery/equipment (700 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/€1,000). The data source for financial metrics is the Aptiv annual 10-K report.*

## Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

## (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

138007

## (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 3: Fuel and Energy Related Activities are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv measures energy consumption by source (e.g., gas, fuel oil, electricity) and applies upstream emission factors to each category. Calculations rely on Aptiv’s fuel and electricity consumption data by country. Country-specific factors are used for fuel-related upstream emissions, electrical losses, and infrastructure. However, if country-specific data is unavailable, regional factors (e.g., Latin America, Africa) are applied. Emission factors sources are IEA 2023 Life Cycle Up stream Emission Factors 2023 and IEA 2024 T&D losses adjustment.*

## Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

## (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

490385

## (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 4: Upstream Transportation and Distribution are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes upstream transportation of purchased goods and downstream distribution of sold products when paid by Aptiv. The methodology uses annual freight spending data, both upstream and downstream flows, and applies monetary emission factors by transport mode (truck, air, rail or maritime) from the ADEME carbon database. The data source for this process is Aptiv’s freight expense records extracted from the ERP system.*

## Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

## (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8013

## (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 5: Waste Generated in Operations are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from the transport, storage, and treatment of waste generated by Aptiv’s operations. Aptiv monitors waste amounts by product category / treatment type and applies emission factors from the ADEME Carbon database. Calculations are based on Aptiv’s operational waste data.*

## Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

## (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 6: Business Travel are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv tracks travel-related expenses by transportation mode (e.g., air, train, personal car, rental car) and applies monetary emission factors from the ADEME Carbon Database. Data source for this process is Aptiv’s ERP system.*

## Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

360185

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 7: Employee Commuting are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from employees commuting to and from their workplace. Estimates are based on each employee traveling 15 km by car each way, every working day of the reporting year (conservative approach). Calculations are based on the total number of employees and emission factors from the ADEME Carbon Database.*

## Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29574

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from leased office spaces. The calculations are based on the total office area (in square meters) and emission factors provided by the ADEME Carbon Database.

## Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, this category does not apply to Aptiv because the majority of downstream transportation and distribution is directed and paid by customers.

## Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

326618

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 10: Processing of Sold Products are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv estimates emissions from vehicle assembly associated with its products by allocating a share of the total vehicle assembly emissions, based on the mass of Aptiv’s products relative to vehicle mass. Using customer Scope 1 and 2 data, an emission intensity per vehicle is calculated for each manufacturer, then averaged and weighted by Aptiv’s sales. This intensity is multiplied by the number of vehicle equivalents derived from Aptiv’s product shipments to determine total emissions. Data sources include Aptiv’s PCW system and customer CDP reports and sustainability disclosures.

## Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15297605

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 11: Use of Sold Products are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category covers emissions from the use of Aptiv products sold during the reporting year. Emissions were estimated based on the lifetime use of vehicles equipped with Aptiv components. To estimate total emissions, the total weight of Aptiv products are divided by the weight of an average vehicle, then multiplied by the average vehicle performance. For charging cables, additional steps include calculating product weight in charging stations, the proportion of cable weight, total energy charged, energy losses, and resulting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from transmission and distribution (T&D) losses, using global electricity emission factors. Emission factors were sourced from recognized databases such as Defra, ICCT, IEA, and EPA.*

## Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

688841

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 12: End of Life Treatment of Sold Products are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from the disposal and treatment of Aptiv’s products at end-of-life. Data sources include Aptiv’s total product weight in the reporting year and emission factor from the ADEME carbon database.*

## Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

#### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This category is not applicable. Aptiv does not lease assets or products to customers and/or business partners.*

### Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

#### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This category is not applicable. Aptiv does not have franchises.*

### Scope 3 category 15: Investments

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3519

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 15: Investments are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category estimates emissions from Aptiv’s investments in non-consolidated affiliates without operational control, using the monetary value of investments and automotive sector ratios. Data comes from Aptiv’s annual 10-K report, and emission factors are sourced from Carbone 4’s sectoral monetary ratios database.*

### Scope 3: Other (upstream)

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Not relevant.*

### Scope 3: Other (downstream)

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Not relevant.*

*[Fixed row]*

## **(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?**

### **Reporting year**

#### **(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

15995

#### **(7.6.3) Methodological details**

*According to our policies and procedures for "GHG Emissions Scope 1 and Scope 2 Criteria," Scope 1 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol. For example, fossil fuel consumption data is obtained from Aptiv's sustainability system, and country-specific emission factors are applied accordingly. The emission factors used are sourced from Base-Carbone – ADEME Bilans GES.*

### **Past year 1**

#### **(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

19311

#### **(7.6.2) End date**

12/31/2023

#### **(7.6.3) Methodological details**

*According to our policies and procedures for "GHG Emissions Scope 1 and Scope 2 Criteria," Scope 1 emissions are calculated following the GHG Protocol. For example, fossil fuel consumption data is obtained from Aptiv's sustainability system, and country-specific emission factors are applied accordingly. The emission factors used are sourced from Base-Carbone – ADEME Bilans GES.*

*[Fixed row]*

## **(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?**

### **Reporting year**

### (7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

410457

### (7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

230264

### (7.7.4) Methodological details

*According to our policies and procedures for “GHG Emissions Scope 1 and Scope 2 Criteria,” Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions are calculated according to GHG Protocol guidelines. For example, this involves applying instruments such as Energy Attribute Certificates (RECs, GOs, I-REC), Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), and green electricity products, supported by evidence like contracts, invoices, on-site generation records, and certified RECs. Emission factors from the International Energy Agency (IEA) are used.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

### Purchased goods and services

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4476832

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 1: Purchased goods and services are calculated following the GHG Protocol. For example, Aptiv applies a spend-based approach for each product category, inclusive of both direct and indirect spend. A monetary emission factor is assigned to each category, and data is extracted from Aptiv’s ERP system. For one of Aptiv’s subsidiaries, the total weight of purchased goods and services is multiplied by approved secondary emission factors. The currency used is Euro (€), with USD-to-EUR conversion based on the yearly average exchange rate provided by OFX. Emission factors are sourced from Base Carbone (ADEME – Bilans GES) and Ecoinvent 3.11.*

## Capital goods

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

432066

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average spend-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 2: Capital Goods are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv considers capital goods a minor contributor to its carbon footprint. The depreciation was calculated using total amortization and depreciation expenses for the reporting year, applying an average monetary emission factor of 530 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e per €1,000. This factor was derived from ADEME data and assumes expenses*

are split between building construction (360 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/€1,000) and machinery/equipment (700 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/€1,000). The data source for financial metrics is the Aptiv annual 10-K report.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:  
☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

100273

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply  
☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 3: Fuel and Energy Related Activities are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv measures energy consumption by source (e.g., gas, fuel oil, electricity) and applies upstream emission factors to each category. Calculations rely on Aptiv’s fuel and electricity consumption data by country. Country-specific factors are used for fuel-related upstream emissions, electrical losses, and infrastructure. However, if country-specific data is unavailable, regional factors (e.g., Latin America, Africa) are applied. Emission factors sources are IEA 2023 Life Cycle Up stream Emission Factors 2023 and IEA 2024 T&D losses adjustment.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

259064

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 4: Upstream Transportation and Distribution are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes upstream transportation of purchased goods and downstream distribution of sold products when paid by Aptiv. The methodology uses annual freight spending data, both upstream and downstream flows, and applies monetary emission factors by transport mode (truck, air, rail or maritime) from the ADEME carbon database. The data source for this process is Aptiv’s freight expense records extracted from the ERP system.*

### Waste generated in operations

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

31192

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Waste-type-specific method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 5: Waste Generated in Operations are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from the transport, storage, and treatment of waste generated by Aptiv’s operations. Aptiv monitors waste amounts by product category / treatment type and applies emission factors from the ADEME Carbon database. Calculations are based on Aptiv’s operational waste data.*

### Business travel

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

20421

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 6: Business Travel are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv tracks travel-related expenses by transportation mode (e.g., air, train, personal car, rental car) and applies monetary emission factors from the ADEME Carbon Database. Data source for this process is Aptiv’s ERP system.

## Employee commuting

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

425730

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 7: Employee Commuting are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from employees commuting to and from their workplace. Estimates are based on each employee traveling 15 km by car each way, every working day of the reporting year (conservative approach). Calculations are based on the total number of employees and emission factors from the ADEME Carbon Database.

## Upstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

38440

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 8: Upstream Leased Assets are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from leased office spaces. The calculations are based on the total office area (in square meters) and emission factors provided by the ADEME Carbon Database.*

### Downstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria” and SBTi feedback, this category does not apply to Aptiv because the majority of downstream transportation and distribution is directed and paid by customers.*

### Processing of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

225735

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Emission factors were calculated using scope 1&2 emissions divided by the number of vehicles produced in the reporting year per car manufacturer

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for "Scope 3 Criteria", Scope 3 emissions for Category 10: Processing of Sold Products are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, Aptiv estimates emissions from vehicle assembly associated with its products by allocating a share of the total vehicle assembly emissions, based on the mass of Aptiv's products relative to vehicle mass. Using customer Scope 1 and 2 data, an emission intensity per vehicle is calculated for each manufacturer, then averaged and weighted by Aptiv's sales. This intensity is multiplied by the number of vehicle equivalents derived from Aptiv's product shipments to determine total emissions. Data sources include Aptiv's PCW system and customer CDP reports and sustainability disclosures.*

## Use of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

**(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology***Select all that apply*☒ Average data method**(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners**

0

**(7.8.5) Please explain**

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 11: Use of Sold Products are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category covers emissions from the use of Aptiv products sold during the reporting year. Emissions were estimated based on the lifetime use of vehicles equipped with Aptiv components. To estimate total emissions, the total weight of Aptiv products are divided by the weight of an average vehicle, then multiplied by the average vehicle performance. For charging cables, additional steps include calculating product weight in charging stations, the proportion of cable weight, total energy charged, energy losses, and resulting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from transmission and distribution (T&D) losses, using global electricity emission factors. Emission factors were sourced from recognized databases such as Defra, ICCT, IEA, and EPA.*

**End of life treatment of sold products****(7.8.1) Evaluation status***Select from:*☒ Relevant, calculated**(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

666358

**(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology***Select all that apply*☒ Waste-type-specific method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 12: End of Life Treatment of Sold Products are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category includes emissions from the disposal and treatment of Aptiv’s products at end-of-life. Data sources include Aptiv’s total product weight in the reporting year and emission factor from the ADEME carbon database.*

### Downstream leased assets

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category is not applicable. Aptiv does not lease assets to its customers and/or business partners.*

### Franchises

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category is not applicable. Aptiv does not have franchises.*

### Investments

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

7476

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average spend-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Scope 3 Criteria”, Scope 3 emissions for Category 15: Investments are calculated following the GHG protocol. For example, this category estimates emissions from Aptiv’s investments in non-consolidated affiliates without operational control, using the monetary value of investments and automotive sector ratios. Data comes from Aptiv’s annual 10-K report, and emission factors are sourced from Carbone 4’s sectoral monetary ratios database.*

### Other (upstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant

### Other (downstream)

## (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

## (7.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant

[Fixed row]

## (7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

### Past year 1

#### (7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2023

#### (7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

6218895

#### (7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

378645

#### (7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

102460

#### (7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

373622

#### (7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

32885

**(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)**

16274

**(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)**

340082

**(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)**

39458

**(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

37143

**(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

21382363

**(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

116264

**(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)**

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

2309

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

|                                          | Verification/assurance status                                                                              |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Scope 1                                  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place |
| Scope 2 (location-based or market-based) | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place |
| Scope 3                                  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place |

[Fixed row]

**(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.**

## Row 1

### (7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

### (7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

*Aptiv 24- Sustainability Assurance (Limited) - Release letter 17.09.2025.pdf*

### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

*Limited Assurance Statement in accordance with the International Standard for Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information ('ISAE 3000') and the International Standard for Assurance Engagements ISAE 3410 Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements ('ISAE 3410'), as referenced in the 2025 Aptiv Sustainability Index Report (page 3).*

### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE 3410

#### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

#### Row 1

##### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 market-based

##### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

##### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

##### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

##### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

#### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Limited Assurance Statement in accordance with the International Standard for Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information ('ISAE 3000') and the International Standard for Assurance Engagements ISAE 3410 Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements ('ISAE 3410'), as referenced in the 2025 Aptiv Sustainability Index Report (page 3).

#### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE 3410

#### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

#### (7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products

#### (7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

### (7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

### (7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

### (7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Aptiv 24- Sustainability Assurance (Limited) - Release letter 17.09.2025.pdf

### (7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

Limited Assurance Statement in accordance with the International Standard for Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information ('ISAE 3000') and the International Standard for Assurance Engagements ISAE 3410 Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements ('ISAE 3410'), as referenced in the 2025 Aptiv Sustainability Index Report (page 3).

### (7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE 3410

### (7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

## (7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

**(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.**

### **Change in renewable energy consumption**

#### **(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

34323.38

#### **(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### **(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

12

#### **(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*Increased onsite generation projects and procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)*

### **Other emissions reduction activities**

#### **(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

#### **(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Not applicable*

### Divestment

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

*Select from:*

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Not applicable*

### Acquisitions

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

*Select from:*

☒ No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*Not applicable*

**Mergers**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

*Select from:*

☒ No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*Not applicable*

**Change in output**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Not applicable*

#### Change in methodology

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Not applicable*

#### Change in boundary

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Not applicable*

### Change in physical operating conditions

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

3316.46

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.2

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Reduction was primarily driven by a globally warmer winter, which lowered natural gas consumption. Additionally, the electrification of heaters previously powered by fossil fuels, further contributed to the decrease in emissions.*

**Unidentified**

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable

### Other

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable

[Fixed row]

**(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?**

Select from:

☒ Market-based

**(7.11) How do your total Scope 3 emissions for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?**

Select from:

☒ Decreased

**(7.11.1) For each Scope 3 category calculated in 7.8, specify how your emissions compare to the previous year and identify the reason for any change.**

**Purchased goods and services**

**(7.11.1.1) Direction of change**

Select from:

☒ Decreased

**(7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change**

Select from:

☒ Change in output

**(7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

1742063

**(7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category**

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Lower production volumes and spending on materials and services.*

### Capital goods

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

*Select from:*

☒ Increased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

*Select from:*

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

53421

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

14.11

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Increase in depreciation and amortization year over year*

### Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

*Select from:*

☒ Decreased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

2187

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

2.13

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Lower production volumes and energy consumption.*

### Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

114558

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

30.66

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Lower production volumes and spending on materials and services.*

### Waste generated in operations

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

1693

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

5.15

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Lower production volumes and operational activities.*

### Business travel

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

4147

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

25.48

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Increase in business travel spending*

### Employee commuting

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

85648

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

25.18

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Increase in employee headcount*

#### Upstream leased assets

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

1018

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

2.58

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Lower production volumes and operational activities*

#### Processing of sold products

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in methodology

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

184672

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

449.73

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Improvement in data quality*

### Use of sold products

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

4039104

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

18.89

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Lower customer vehicle production schedules*

### End-of-life treatment of sold products

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in methodology

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

550094

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

473.14

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Improvement in data quality*

### Investments

#### (7.11.1.1) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

#### (7.11.1.2) Primary reason for change

Select from:

☒ Change in output

#### (7.11.1.3) Change in emissions in this category (metric tons CO2e)

5167

#### (7.11.1.4) % change in emissions in this category

223.78

#### (7.11.1.5) Please explain

*Increase in investment spending*

*[Fixed row]*

#### (7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

**Austria**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

650

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

2543

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**Brazil**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

3393

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

8571

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

6966

**China**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

549

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

114066

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

17168

**Czechia**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

42

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

53

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

53

**Denmark**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**Estonia**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1169

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1169

## Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

## France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

115

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

531

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

## Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

872

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12287

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

## Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

41

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4525

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4525

## Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

957

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

6045

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

6045

**India**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

37

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

21648

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

21648

**Indonesia**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

640

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

640

## Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

115

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

## Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

## Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

405

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2423

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3699

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3699

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1719

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1510

Mexico

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

2466

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

92548

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

68167

**Morocco**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

237

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

21801

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

21801

**North Macedonia**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

51

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

4364

#### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

4364

### **Poland**

#### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

428

#### **(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

23736

#### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

0

### **Portugal**

#### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

129

#### **(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

1678

#### **(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

0

### **Republic of Korea**

#### **(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

125

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20099

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20099

## Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

443

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1368

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

## Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

78

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5876

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5876

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12367

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4612

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

197

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

303

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

2718

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

2009

**Spain**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

43

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

622

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

622

**Sweden**

**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

12

**(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

8

## Thailand

### (7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

### (7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

## Tunisia

### (7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

889

### (7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

889

## Turkey

### (7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

96

### (7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1520

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1520

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

426

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3683

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4199

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36829

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36829  
[Fixed row]

**(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

- ☒ By business division
- ☒ By activity

**(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.**

**Row 1**

**(7.17.1.1) Business division**

Intercable Automotive Solutions

**(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)**

387

**Row 2**

**(7.17.1.1) Business division**

Connection Systems

**(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)**

6054

**Row 3**

**(7.17.1.1) Business division**

Winchester

**(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)**

576

Row 4

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Corporate

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

657

Row 5

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Electrical Distribution Systems

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

4769

Row 6

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Advanced Safety and User Experience

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1437

Row 7

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Hellermannntyton

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1792

Row 8

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Wind River

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

129

Row 9

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Gabocom

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

192

[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

|       | Activity                        | Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e) |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Row 1 | Warehouse                       | 42                                   |
| Row 2 | Engineering & Technical centers | 1293                                 |
| Row 3 | Customer Center                 | 0                                    |
| Row 4 | Distribution                    | 432                                  |
| Row 5 | Manufacturing                   | 13220                                |
| Row 6 | Offices                         | 1008                                 |

[Add row]

**(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

- ☒ By business division
- ☒ By activity

**(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.**

**Row 1**

**(7.20.1.1) Business division**

Wind River

**(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

### (7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

630

## Row 2

### (7.20.1.1) Business division

*Advanced Safety and User Experience*

### (7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

65440

### (7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24056

## Row 3

### (7.20.1.1) Business division

*Corporate*

### (7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14505

### (7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7284

## Row 4

### (7.20.1.1) Business division

**(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

5677

**(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

5468

**Row 5**

**(7.20.1.1) Business division**

*Electrical Distribution Systems*

**(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

117118

**(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

78402

**Row 6**

**(7.20.1.1) Business division**

*Connection Systems*

**(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)**

137771

**(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)**

77247

## Row 7

(7.20.1.1) Business division

*Hellermannntyton*

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

63130

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36372

## Row 8

(7.20.1.1) Business division

*Intercable Aumotive Solutions*

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2901

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

806

## Row 9

(7.20.1.1) Business division

*Gabocom*

### (7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3240

### (7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

[Add row]

### (7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

|       | Activity                        | Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e) | Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e) |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Row 1 | Engineering & Technical centers | 35311                                      | 22112                                    |
| Row 2 | Warehouse                       | 53                                         | 53                                       |
| Row 3 | Distribution                    | 937                                        | 893                                      |
| Row 4 | Offices                         | 6814                                       | 6650                                     |
| Row 5 | Manufacturing                   | 367324                                     | 200544                                   |
| Row 6 | Customer center                 | 18                                         | 12                                       |

[Add row]

### (7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

#### Consolidated accounting group

### (7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15995

**(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

410457

**(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

230264

**(7.22.4) Please explain**

*Included Aptiv, Hellermantytton, Winchester, Wind River and Intercable Automotive Solutions*

**All other entities**

**(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.22.4) Please explain**

*All emissions are reported under the consolidated group.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

#### Row 1

##### (7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

*Intercable Automotive Solutions*

##### (7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Electronic components

##### (7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

##### (7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

*442348986*

##### (7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

*387*

##### (7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

*2901*

##### (7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

**(7.23.1.15) Comment****Row 2****(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name***Winchester***(7.23.1.2) Primary activity***Select from:*☒ Automobiles**(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary***Select all that apply*☒ D-U-N-S number**(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number***828433677***(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)***576***(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)***5677***(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

**(7.23.1.15) Comment****Row 3****(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name***Hellermannntyton***(7.23.1.2) Primary activity***Select from:*☒ Automobiles**(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary***Select all that apply*☒ D-U-N-S number**(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number***348531984***(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)***1792***(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)***63130***(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

**(7.23.1.15) Comment****Row 4****(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name***Gabocom***(7.23.1.2) Primary activity***Select from:*☒ Automobiles**(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary***Select all that apply*☒ LEI number☒ D-U-N-S number**(7.23.1.9) LEI number***529900RYZZ0Q935G0O77***(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number***341600746***(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)***192.18***(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

3240

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Row 5

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Wind River

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

129

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

676

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

**(7.23.1.15) Comment***[Add row]*

**(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.**

**Row 1****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:*☒ Ford Motor Company**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 1**(7.26.4) Allocation level***Select from:*☒ Company wide**(7.26.6) Allocation method***Select from:*☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased**(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied**

Select from:

☒ Currency

**(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member**

2069865000

**(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e**

1679

**(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)**

10

**(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions**

*Building heating*

**(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

*Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.*

**(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference**

*Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report.*

**Row 2**

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ BMW AG

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

551964000

### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

448

### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

**(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions***Building heating***(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made***Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.***(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference***Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report.***Row 3****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:*☒ Stellantis N.V.**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 1**(7.26.4) Allocation level***Select from:*

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

#### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1537614000

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

1248

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Building heating

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.

#### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report, page 4.

### Row 4

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Ford Motor Company

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

#### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

2069865000

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

24178

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Electricity in the manufacturing process of products*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.*

#### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

*Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report, page 4.*

### Row 5

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ BMW AG

## (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

## (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

## (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

## (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

## (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

551964000

## (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

6447

## (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

## (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity in the manufacturing process of products

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.*

#### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

*Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report, page 4.*

### Row 6

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Stellantis N.V.

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

#### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1537614000

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

17961

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Electricity in the manufacturing process of products*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.*

#### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

*Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report, page 4.*

## Row 7

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

- ☒ Ford Motor Company

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

- ☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |                                                                                                                    |                                                                                         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments                                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods                                                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel                                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 10: Processing of sold products            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting                                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products                                              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution                           |                                                                                         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |                                                                                         |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

#### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

2069865000

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

2522819

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronic*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.*

#### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

*Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report, page 4.*

## Row 8

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ BMW AG

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Category 15: Investments

☒ Category 2: Capital goods

☒ Category 6: Business travel

☒ Category 7: Employee commuting

☒ Category 11: Use of sold products

☒ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☒ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

☒ Category 8: Upstream leased assets

☒ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☒ Category 10: Processing of sold products

☒ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☒ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

#### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

551964000

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

672752

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronic*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.*

#### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

*Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report, page 4.*

## Row 9

### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

- ☒ Stellantis N.V.

### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

- ☒ Scope 3

### (7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- |                                                                                                                    |                                                                                         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 15: Investments                                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 8: Upstream leased assets                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 2: Capital goods                                                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 1: Purchased goods and services            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 6: Business travel                                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 10: Processing of sold products            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 7: Employee commuting                                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 5: Waste generated in operations           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 11: Use of sold products                                              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution                           |                                                                                         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |                                                                                         |

### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

- ☒ Company wide

### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

- ☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

#### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1537614000

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e

1874094

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

10

#### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Purchased good and services - Materials like copper, plastics and electronic*

#### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*Emissions have been allocated by using the % of revenue attributable to all of the customers.*

#### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

*Emissions have been allocated using Aptiv's consolidated GHG accounted emissions, which are published in Aptiv's 2025 Sustainability Report, page 4.*  
[Add row]

**(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?**

**Row 1**

### **(7.27.1) Allocation challenges**

Select from:

☒ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

### **(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges**

*Aptiv's manufacturing sites produce a wide range of products for various vehicle models and customers. To allocate emissions by customer would require a highly detailed tracking process for each product and part number.*

[Add row]

**(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?**

### **(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

### **(7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities**

*Aptiv is committed to become a carbon-neutral company by 2040 and to manufacture carbon-neutral products by 2039. In order to deliver on this commitment, in 2020/2021, we conducted three life cycle assessments (LCAs) - one product for each of our three business units. These LCAs were conducted in accordance to the ISO14040/44 standard. In 2022, we began to perform carbon footprint declarations for more specific products. We are now in the process of measuring the energy consumption per major product category in our manufacturing process lines.*

[Fixed row]

**(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?**

Select from:

☒ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

**(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.**

|                                                    | Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)         | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                         |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity   | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                         |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired heat          | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                         |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired steam         | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                          |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling       | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                          |
| Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                         |

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.**

**Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

#### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

77394

#### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

77394.00

### Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

#### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

449835

#### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

557639

#### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1007474.00

### Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

277

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

0

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

277.00

## Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

22825

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

22825.00

## Total energy consumption

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

472937

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

634472

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1107409.00

[Fixed row]

### (7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

|                                                       | Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No              |
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat        | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes             |
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam       | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No              |
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling     | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No              |

|                                                         |                                                                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                         | Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application |
| Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No       |

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.**

### **Sustainable biomass**

#### **(7.30.7.1) Heating value**

*Select from:*

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### **(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**

0

#### **(7.30.7.8) Comment**

### **Other biomass**

#### **(7.30.7.1) Heating value**

*Select from:*

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**

0

**(7.30.7.8) Comment**

**Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)**

**(7.30.7.1) Heating value**

*Select from:*

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**

0

**(7.30.7.8) Comment**

**Coal**

**(7.30.7.1) Heating value**

*Select from:*

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**

0

**(7.30.7.8) Comment**

## Oil

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

## Gas

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

76834

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

## Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

76834

(7.30.7.8) Comment

[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

22825

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

22825

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

22825

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

22825

## **Heat**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

## **Steam**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

### Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.**

## Row 1

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Austria

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :solid/liquid biomass, wind, hydro, other

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

25083.26

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Austria

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

**Row 2**

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ Brazil

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

**(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

24579

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Brazil

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 3

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ China

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

15665

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 4

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Denmark

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3.51

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Denmark

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 5

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Finland

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

20.41

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

☒ Finland

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

**Row 6**

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ France

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

9110.88

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ France

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 7

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

37369.27

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Germany

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

## Row 8

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Ireland

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

400.92

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Ireland

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

**Row 9**

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ Italy

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

**(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

5426

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Italy

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 10

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Italy

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3946.25

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Italy

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 11

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Poland

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

29956.33

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Poland

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

## Row 12

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Poland

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

13005

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Poland

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

## Row 13

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Solar

**(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used***Select from:*☒ Contract**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ Malaysia**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?***Select from:*☒ Yes**(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2023

**(7.30.14.10) Comment****Row 14****(7.30.14.1) Country/area***Select from:*☒ Mexico**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

63000

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Mexico

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2020

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

#### Row 15

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Portugal

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

16351.38

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Portugal

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 16

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Romania

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6360.13

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Romania

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 17

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Romania

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

215

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Romania

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

Row 18

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Singapore

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Unknown

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12490

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Singapore

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 19

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Singapore

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

8675.34

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

☒ Singapore

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

**Row 20**

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ Slovakia

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2990

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Slovakia

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 21

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Sweden

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

216.16

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Sweden

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1950

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

#### Row 22

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Sweden

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Heat

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Sustainable biomass

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

277

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Sweden

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1954

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 23

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Sweden

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

132

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Sweden

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

### Row 24

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Unknown

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

20759.93

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

## Row 25

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ France

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3748

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ France

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

## Row 26

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ China

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

**(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

150000

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1994

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

Zhongba Hydropower Station

[Add row]

#### (7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

##### Austria

#### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25083.26

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

97.18

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

25180.44

**Brazil**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

45620.25

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

14.35

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

45634.60

## China

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

195017.76

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

15297.76

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

210315.52

## Czechia

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

135.24

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

135.24

## Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3.51

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.51

## Estonia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2739.72

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

2739.72

## **Finland**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

20.41

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

20.41

**France**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

12858.52

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

12858.52

**Germany**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

37369.27

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

37369.27

**Honduras**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

15817

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

15817.00

**Hungary**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

39000.14

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

39000.14

**India**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

28921.35

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

2731.68

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

31653.03

## Indonesia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

835.49

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

835.49

## Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

480.48

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

480.48

**Israel**

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

## Italy

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9372.25

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

9372.25

## Japan

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8532.23

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

8532.23

**Malaysia**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

2734.28

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

301.06

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

3035.34

**Mexico**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

237877.53

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

237877.53

## Morocco

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

31924.29

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1155.88

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33080.17

## North Macedonia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6835.37

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6835.37

## Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

42961.43

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

42961.43

## **Portugal**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

16351.38

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

740.46

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

17091.84

## **Republic of Korea**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

47684.21

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

47684.21

## **Romania**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

6575.15

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

6575.15

**Serbia**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

8358.21

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

8358.21

**Singapore**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

35946.2

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

875.48

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

36821.68

**Slovakia**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

2989.55

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

2989.55

**South Africa**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

2770.05

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

722.79

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

3492.84

**Spain**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

4778.76

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

811.93

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5590.69

## Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1033.13

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

277.08

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1310.21

## Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

23.06

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

23.06

## Tunisia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2236.79

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2236.79

## Turkey

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3549.36

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3549.36

## United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

20926.21

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

76.36

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

21002.57

**United States of America**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

111040.58

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

111040.58

[Fixed row]

**(7.34) Does your organization measure the efficiency of any of its products or services?**

### (7.34.1) Measurement of product/service efficiency

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (7.34.2) Comment

Examples of Aptiv products that improve efficiency and lower energy consumption: Gen 6 ADAS Platform Our intelligent approach to the full system results in up to 25% lower cost and 60% lower energy consumption than typical alternatives. (<https://www.aptiv.com/en/solutions/adas>) Smart Vehicle Architecture Aptiv delivers optimized hardware architecture addressing the needs of the software-defined vehicle. Resulting in reduced complexity/energy consumption, higher performance and reduced cost. ([https://s21.q4cdn.com/440699111/files/doc\\_events/2024/01/1/CES-2024-Aptiv-Investor-Event.pdf](https://s21.q4cdn.com/440699111/files/doc_events/2024/01/1/CES-2024-Aptiv-Investor-Event.pdf))

[Fixed row]

**(7.34.1) Provide details of the metrics used to measure the efficiency of your organization's products or services.**

Row 1

### (7.34.1.1) Category of product or service

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :High Voltage Portfolio

### (7.34.1.2) Product or service (optional)

Engineered component products, connectors, wiring assemblies and harnesses, cable management, electrical centers and high voltage power and safety-critical data distribution systems for electrified vehicle platforms

### (7.34.1.3) % of revenue from this product or service in the reporting year

18

### (7.34.1.4) Efficiency figure in the reporting year

100

#### (7.34.1.5) Metric numerator

Select from:

☒ %

#### (7.34.1.6) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :kW/Time

#### (7.34.1.7) Comment

*Activ vehicle electrification products are being embedded into electric vehicles (Hybrid, PHEV, BEV). These vehicles are considered to emit less GHG emissions over their lifetime compared to vehicles powered by gas. According to the European Federation for Transport and Environment (TE), an electric vehicle emits 22% (worst case scenario) less CO2e over its lifetime than a petrol vehicle.*

[Add row]

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

#### Row 1

#### (7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.00001249

#### (7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

246258

#### (7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

#### (7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

19713000000

#### (7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

#### (7.45.6) % change from previous year

12

#### (7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

☒ Change in revenue

☒ Change in physical operating conditions

#### (7.45.9) Please explain

Renewable energy consumption increased from 33% in 2023 to 43% in 2024, resulting in lower market-based emissions. This exceeds Aptiv's external target to reduce scope 1 and 2 by 25% by 2025 (2021 baseline) and meets our customers' targets related to climate-change.

[Add row]

#### (7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Thousand of water liters per employee

### (7.52.2) Metric value

11.97

### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

Water consumption

### (7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

FTE number

### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

4

### (7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

### (7.52.7) Please explain

*Aptiv's annual global target is to achieve 80% compliance with EPA best practices for water management across all sites. Additionally, 89% of our manufacturing facilities are certified under ISO 14001, ensuring adherence to regulatory requirements and the implementation of effective environmental action plans. (Aptiv 2025 Sustainability Progress Report, page 4)*

## Row 2

### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

#### (7.52.2) Metric value

0.84

#### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

*Total waste sent out for recycling*

#### (7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

*Total waste generated*

#### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

1

#### (7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

#### (7.52.7) Please explain

*Aptiv's annual global target is to recycle 80% of total waste. Additionally, 89% of our manufacturing facilities are certified under ISO 14001, ensuring adherence to regulatory requirements and the implementation of effective environmental action plans. (Aptiv 2025 Sustainability Progress Report, page 4)*

*[Add row]*

### (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

☒ Intensity target

## (7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

### Row 1

#### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

#### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

#### (7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

*SBTi - Near-Term approval letter - Aptiv PLC.pdf*

#### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

#### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

*12/06/2023*

#### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- ☒ Methane (CH4)
- ☒ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

#### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

#### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- ☒ Market-based

#### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2021

#### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

20388

#### (7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

344006

#### (7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

#### (7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

364394.000

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

100

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

15995

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

230264

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

246259.000

### (7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

### (7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

32.42

### (7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*No exclusions and company-wide coverage.*

### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*Reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 100% by 2030 from a 2021 base year*

### (7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*To achieve our 2030 goal of eliminating Scope 1 and 2 emissions, we are advancing three key strategies: transitioning to 100% renewable energy through on-site generation, Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), and Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs)—with 43% of our operations already powered by renewable energy in 2024; electrifying processes that currently rely on fossil fuels; and improving energy efficiency at energy-intensive sites by implementing advanced measures, obtaining certifications, and aligning with the ISO 50001 energy management standard.*

### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ Yes

**Row 3**

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 2

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

### (7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

*SBTi - Near-Term approval letter - Aptiv PLC.pdf*

### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

*12/06/2023*

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)

☒ Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

## (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

## (7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- |                                                                                                                               |                                                                                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 14 – Franchises                                                         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 15 – Investments                                                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 8 - Upstream leased assets       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods                                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 13 – Downstream leased assets    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel                                                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting                                                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 10 – Processing of sold products |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations                                       |                                                                                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 12 – End-of-life treatment of sold products                             |                                                                                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution                            |                                                                                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution                          |                                                                                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2) |                                                                                        |

## (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2021

## (7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

6578045

## (7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

385669

## (7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

138007

**(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

490385

**(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

8013

**(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

7683

**(7.53.1.20) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

360185

**(7.53.1.21) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

29574

**(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.53.1.23) Base year Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

326618

**(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

15297605

**(7.53.1.25) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

688841

**(7.53.1.26) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.53.1.27) Base year Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.53.1.28) Base year Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

3519

**(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

24314144.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

24314144.000

**(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.41) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.42) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.44) Base year Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.46) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.47) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.48) Base year Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.49) Base year Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 15: Investments (metric tons CO2e)**

100

**(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

47.4

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

12789239.744

**(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

4476832

**(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

432066

**(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

100273

**(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

259064

**(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

31192

**(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

20421

**(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

425730

**(7.53.1.66) Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

38440

**(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.53.1.68) Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

225735

**(7.53.1.69) Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

17343259

**(7.53.1.70) Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

**(7.53.1.71) Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.53.1.72) Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.53.1.73) Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

7476

**(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

24026846.000

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

24026846.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

2.49

**(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Underway

### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*No exclusions and company-wide coverage.*

### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*Reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 47.4% by 2030 from a 2021 base year.*

### (7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*Apativ is committed to eliminating 100% of Scope 3 emissions by 2040, through a comprehensive strategy focused on reducing carbon emissions from purchase of goods/services. This will include engaging suppliers to report emissions and implement reduction plans and sourcing recycled materials with low carbon intensity. We are advancing innovation by researching and testing recycled materials, including copper for wiring harnesses which has been validated to meet performance standards. We are increasingly focused on our circular economy business model, including revitalizing used parts, conserving natural resources, minimizing waste and decreasing energy consumption.*

### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Add row]

## (7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

### Row 1

#### (7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Int 1

#### (7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

#### (7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

#### (7.53.2.5) Date target was set

12/31/2019

#### (7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)

☒ Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

#### (7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

#### (7.53.2.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

### (7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

☒ Metric tons CO2e per unit FTE employee

### (7.53.2.12) End date of base year

12/31/2019

### (7.53.2.13) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1

0.1

### (7.53.2.14) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2

2.09

### (7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes

2.1900000000

### (7.53.2.34) % of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure

100

### (7.53.2.35) % of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure

100

### (7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

100

### (7.53.2.55) End date of target

12/31/2024

**(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

20

**(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes**

1.7520000000

**(7.53.2.58) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions**

-20

**(7.53.2.60) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1**

0.079663998

**(7.53.2.61) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2**

1.146874147

**(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes**

1.2265381450

**(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year**

219.97

**(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Achieved

#### (7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Scope 1 and Scope 2 for all global operations*

#### (7.53.2.86) Target objective

*GHG Emissions Intensity Reduction (Scope 1 and 2; % reduction from the GHG KPI Baseline)*

#### (7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

*Select from:*

☒ No

#### (7.53.2.89) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

*Renewable energy procurement, renewable energy on-site generation, ISO 50001 certification at our top 10 most energy-intensive sites.  
[Add row]*

#### (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

*Select all that apply*

☒ Net-zero targets

#### (7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

##### Row 1

#### (7.54.3.1) Target reference number

*Select from:*

☒ NZ1

### (7.54.3.2) Date target was set

05/05/2021

### (7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

☒ Int1

### (7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

12/31/2040

### (7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

### (7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

☒ Scope 3

### (7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

- ☒ Methane (CH4)
- ☒ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

#### (7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Aptiv PLC commits to reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 100% by 2030 from a 2021 base year. Aptiv PLC also commits to reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 47.4% within the same timeframe.*

#### (7.54.3.11) Target objective

*Aptiv PLC commits to reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 100% by 2030 from a 2021 base year. Aptiv PLC also commits to reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 47.4% within the same timeframe.*

#### (7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

- ☒ Unsure

#### (7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

- ☒ No, we do not plan to mitigate emissions beyond our value chain

#### (7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Underway

#### (7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

*Assurance Limited Statement*  
*[Add row]*

**(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.**

|                          | Number of initiatives | Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Under investigation      | 1                     | `Numeric input                                            |
| To be implemented        | 0                     | 0                                                         |
| Implementation commenced | 1                     | 6051968                                                   |
| Implemented              | 4                     | 133557.6                                                  |
| Not to be implemented    | 0                     | `Numeric input                                            |

[Fixed row]

**(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.**

Row 1

**(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type**

Low-carbon energy consumption

☒ Wind

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

18373

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

11156

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 3-5 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

Procurement of wind renewable electricity that includes bundled and unbundled renewable energy certificates

## Row 2

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy generation

☒ Solar PV

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

13945.6

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

☒ Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1138218

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

2182048

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

*Select from:*

☒ 4-10 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*On-site generation renewable energy*

### Row 3

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☒ Large hydropower (>25 MW)

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

100918

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

6151538

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 3-5 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Procurement of hydro renewable electricity that includes bundled and unbundled renewable energy certificates*

### Row 4

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☒ Solar PV

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

321

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

6193680

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 1-2 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Procurement of unbundled renewable energy certificates*

*[Add row]*

### (7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

#### Row 1

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Partnering with governments on technology development

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Each year, we share some engineering expenses with OEMs and government agencies which generally ranges from 25% to 35% of engineering expenses. This level of co-investment supports product development, accelerates the pace of innovation and reduces the risk associated with successful commercialization of technological breakthroughs. We also encourage “open innovation” and collaborate extensively with peers in the industry, government agencies and academic institutions. (Aptiv 2024 10-K, page 40)*

## Row 2

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our total investment in research and development, including engineering, was approximately \$1.6 billion, \$1.8 billion and \$1.5 billion for the years ended December 31, 2024, 2023 and 2022, respectively, which includes approximately \$535 million, \$492 million and \$379 million of co-investment by customers and government agencies. Aptiv 2024 10-K, page 40.*

## Row 3

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Employee engagement

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*At the annual Aptiv Innovation Awards, a global decarbonization project led by seven Advanced Safety & User Experience (AS&UX) employees won the first Sustainability Accelerator Award. The project included detailed initiatives in modernizing and optimizing energy management systems and onsite generation to reduce operating costs. Equipment is being upgraded to improve energy efficiency, such as replacing a gas humidification system with a system that’s more environmentally friendly. The results are promising: We have achieved an annual carbon emissions reduction of approximately 521 tons from AS&UX operations — which will result in*

a 36 percent reduction of Scope 1 carbon emissions in 2025. This effort demonstrates Aptiv's commitment to reducing our carbon footprint, and our dedication to reaching our goal of achieving carbon-neutral operations. The AS&UX decarbonization project is functioning as a blueprint for future transitions. (Aptiv 2025 Sustainability Progress Report, page 10.)

## Row 4

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Aptiv currently has solar panel projects at 14 sites worldwide, generating more than 17 million kWh of electricity and eliminating nearly 9,800 tons of CO2e emissions. Globally, Aptiv is powered by 43 percent renewable energy sources.*

## Row 5

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*The upcoming Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) are two critical regulatory frameworks emerging within the European Union that have significant implications for the automotive industry. These regulations, while distinct in their scope, are interrelated in their overarching goal of driving sustainability and carbon reduction, both within the EU and globally. The CSRD, which expands upon the previous Non-Financial Reporting Directive (NFRD), requires companies, including those in the automotive sector, to disclose extensive sustainability-related information in their annual reports. This includes not only environmental impact but also social and governance (ESG) factors. For automotive companies, this means reporting on their carbon emissions across the entire value chain—from raw material sourcing, manufacturing processes, and logistics, to the use phase of vehicles and end-of-life recycling. Given the carbon-intensive nature of the automotive industry, particularly due to manufacturing and the reliance on fossil fuel-powered vehicles, the CSRD will push companies to be transparent about their carbon footprint and their plans for decarbonization. It also incentivizes the shift toward electric vehicles (EVs) and sustainable practices in production, encouraging automakers to improve energy efficiency, invest in renewable energy, and adopt circular economy practices like recycling parts and materials. The CBAM is a carbon pricing mechanism designed to prevent carbon leakage by imposing carbon costs on imports of certain goods into the EU. While it initially focuses on energy-intensive industries such as steel, aluminum, and cement, which are crucial inputs for the automotive sector, it will likely impact the automotive industry as well. As automakers rely heavily on materials like steel and aluminum, which are subject to CBAM, any carbon costs*

embedded in these materials will increase the cost of producing vehicles, particularly those with a higher carbon footprint. The automotive industry, which is global in nature, must now account for the carbon emissions of its suppliers, especially those outside the EU. As CBAM evolves, it may extend to more sectors, potentially including automotive components directly.

## Row 6

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Internal incentives/recognition programs

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Aptiv's manufacturing sites are required to reduce their energy intensity by 1% annually, leading to an expected decrease in their overall carbon footprint. To encourage progress, local teams receive incentives upon achieving these targets.*

[Add row]

## (7.71) Does your organization assess the life cycle emissions of any of its products or services?

### (7.71.1) Assessment of life cycle emissions

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (7.71.2) Comment

*To better understand the environmental profile of our products, including their carbon footprint, we have performed formal Product Carbon Footprint (PCFs), following ISO14040/44 standard, on three of our products, one per business unit.*

[Fixed row]

## (7.71.1) Provide details of how your organization assesses the life cycle emissions of its products or services.

### (7.71.1.1) Products/services assessed

Select from:

- ☒ Representative selection of products/services

### (7.71.1.2) Life cycle stage(s) most commonly covered

Select from:

- ☒ Cradle-to-grave

### (7.71.1.3) Methodologies/standards/tools applied

Select all that apply

- ☒ ISO 14040 & 14044

[Fixed row]

### (7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

- ☒ No, I am not providing data

### (7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

### (7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

#### Row 1

### (7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

- ☒ Product or service

### (7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☒ No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

### (7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Road

☒ Other, please specify :Electrical distribution systems for electrified vehicles

### (7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

*Advanced Safety and User Experience—This segment, which includes our Active Safety, User Experience and Smart Vehicle Compute and Software businesses, provides critical technologies and services to enhance vehicle safety, security, comfort and convenience, including sensing and perception systems, electronic control units, multi-domain controllers, vehicle connectivity systems, cloud-native software platforms, application software, autonomous driving technologies and end-to-end DevOps tools. Signal and Power Solutions—This segment, which includes our Engineered Components Group and Electrical Distribution Systems businesses, provides complete design, manufacture and assembly of the vehicle's electrical architecture, including engineered component products, connectors, wiring assemblies and harnesses, cable management, electrical centers and high voltage power and safety-critical data distribution systems. Our products provide the signal distribution and computing power backbone. Aptiv 2024 10-K Report, page 6.*

### (7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

☒ Estimating and Reporting the Comparative Emissions Impacts of Products (WRI)

### (7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

☒ Cradle-to-grave

#### (7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

*The U.S. Department of Energy publishes national average annual emissions from operating an electric passenger vehicle versus a similar-sized internal combustion engine passenger vehicle. Aptiv used the pounds of CO2 emissions for each vehicle type from the report, converted to metric tons of CO2 emissions.*

#### (7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

*Average ICE vehicle*

#### (7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

*Select from:*

☒ Cradle-to-grave

#### (7.74.1.11) Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

*108000000*

#### (7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

*Average ICE vehicle emits 5.2 Tons of CO2/Year vs 1.7-2.9 Tons of CO2/Year of EV. Aptiv produced the wiring harness of 26 millions sold vehicles equal to ~108 million Tons of CO2 saved.*

#### (7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

*49*

*[Add row]*

#### (7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

*Select from:*

☒ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Business activities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

At least 85 percent of Aptiv's total revenue.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

☒ Data collection is in progress

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☒ 11-20%

#### (9.1.1.8) Please explain

*Certain Aptiv subsidiaries are excluded from this report*  
*[Add row]*

**(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?**

#### **Water withdrawals – total volumes**

##### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

*Select from:*

☒ 100%

##### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

*Select from:*

☒ Monthly

##### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Invoices from the water utility company providing service or from water flow meters installed in wells.*

##### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Aptiv manufacturing sites report monthly water withdrawals in our EHS platform (Cority) and attach supporting evidence of these measurements.*

#### **Water withdrawals – volumes by source**

##### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

*Select from:*

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Invoices from the water utility company providing service or from water flow meters installed in wells.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for "Water & Wastewater Management", Aptiv's water quality procedures require that all water withdrawals comply with local water quality regulations. The quality of water withdrawals is measured and monitored at least annually, and often more frequently, either by external suppliers or accredited laboratories.*

## Water withdrawals quality

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Water quality testing with approved laboratories*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

Discharge volumes are measured and calculated through external sampling and lab testing, in accordance with local regulations or at least annually per Aptiv's internal policies and procedures.

## Water discharges – total volumes

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharge volumes are measured and calculated through external sampling and lab testing in accordance with local regulations, or at least annually as per our internal policies and procedures.

### (9.2.4) Please explain

According to our policies and procedures for “Water & Wastewater Management”, Aptiv operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

## Water discharges – volumes by destination

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*According to our policies and procedures for "Water & Wastewater Management", all wastewater discharges, direct and indirect, (sanitary, process, and storm-water) must comply with all local, state or national regulations and/or permit requirements. Untreated sanitary wastewater, storm water runoff and untreated process wastewater shall be segregated, unless commingling is compatible with local regulations and downstream treatment.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Aptiv operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.*

## Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Aptiv maintains a comprehensive database of all water treatment plants, along with details on wastewater discharges (direct and indirect), including sanitary, process, and stormwater treatment methods. These methods encompass on-site treatment systems such as MBBR, SBR, sand filtration, UV disinfection, and activated sludge, as well as municipal wastewater treatment plants.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Aptiv's operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.*

## Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality testing, conducted with approved laboratories, is guided by our waste and wastewater procedure, which establishes the following thresholds: Oil and Grease (20 mg/L), pH (6-9), Fecal Coliform (1,000 cfu/100 mL), TSS (70 mg/L), BOD (50 mg/L), COD (800 mg/L), Total Phosphorus (10 mg/L), Ammonia Nitrogen (30 mg/L), Phenols (2 mg/L), Copper (1 mg/L), Cyanide (0.3 mg/L), Lead (0.3 mg/L), Mercury (0.005 mg/L), Nickel (1 mg/L), Chromium (1 mg/L), and Zinc (2 mg/L)

### (9.2.4) Please explain

According to our policies and procedures for “Water & Wastewater Management”, Aptiv's operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.

## Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Discharge volumes are measured and calculated through external sampling and lab testing in accordance with local regulations, or at least annually as per our internal policies and procedures for Wastewater Management. Aptiv's internal wastewater parameter thresholds are Total Phosphorus (10 mg/L) and Ammonia Nitrogen (30 mg/L)*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for "Water & Wastewater Management", all of Aptiv's operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.*

## Water discharge quality – temperature

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*According to our policies and procedures for "Water & Wastewater Management", the discharge temperature is measured and calculated by external sampling and lab testing according to the local regulation.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for "Water & Wastewater Management", all of Aptiv's operational sites comply with this requirement. In the absence of local, state, national, or permit discharge limits for the listed contaminants, the facility must meet Aptiv's target values for those contaminants when they are discharged directly into surface water.*

## Water consumption – total volume

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Aptiv reports monthly water consumption through a water balance system in the EHS platform*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Aptiv conducts internal and external environmental audits annually to ensure compliance with all national regulations and Aptiv's internal procedures for water and wastewater management*

*[Fixed row]*

**(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?**

#### **Total withdrawals**

#### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2227

#### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*Aptiv targets to reduce water consumption intensity in high-risk areas by 2% per year*

## Total discharges

### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2027

### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

#### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*Aptiv targets to reduce water consumption intensity in high-risk areas by 2% per year*

### Total consumption

#### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

200.4

#### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*Aptiv targets to reduce water consumption intensity in high-risk areas by 2% per year*

*[Fixed row]*

**(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

#### (9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

1133

#### (9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

#### (9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

#### (9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

50.88

#### (9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Maplecroft Verisk Index Tool

#### (9.2.4.9) Please explain

*Aptiv targets to reduce water consumption intensity in high-risk areas by 2% per year*

*[Fixed row]*

#### (9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

**Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes**

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Not applicable, as Aptiv does not withdraw water from these sources*

## **Brackish surface water/Seawater**

### **(9.2.7.1) Relevance**

*Select from:*

☒ Not relevant

### **(9.2.7.5) Please explain**

*Not applicable, as Aptiv does not withdraw water from these sources*

## **Groundwater – renewable**

### **(9.2.7.1) Relevance**

*Select from:*

☒ Not relevant

### **(9.2.7.5) Please explain**

*Not applicable, as Aptiv does not withdraw water from these sources*

## **Groundwater – non-renewable**

### **(9.2.7.1) Relevance**

*Select from:*

☒ Not relevant

### **(9.2.7.5) Please explain**

*Not applicable, as Aptiv does not withdraw water from these sources*

## Produced/Entrained water

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Not applicable, as Aptiv does not withdraw water from these sources*

## Third party sources

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2132

### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Change in accounting methodology

### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*ESG and EHS reporting platform (Cority)*

[Fixed row]

## **(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.**

### **Fresh surface water**

#### **(9.2.8.1) Relevance**

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### **(9.2.8.5) Please explain**

*Not applicable.*

### **Brackish surface water/seawater**

#### **(9.2.8.1) Relevance**

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### **(9.2.8.5) Please explain**

*Not applicable.*

### **Groundwater**

#### **(9.2.8.1) Relevance**

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### **(9.2.8.5) Please explain**

Not applicable.

## Third-party destinations

### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

### (9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1656

### (9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Change in accounting methodology

### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

ESG and EHS reporting platform (Cority)

[Fixed row]

**(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.**

## Tertiary treatment

### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*Not Applicable*

### Secondary treatment

#### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1656

#### (9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 91-99

#### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*According to our policies and procedures for “Water & Wastewater Management,” all wastewater discharges, both direct and indirect (including sanitary, process, and stormwater), must comply with local, state, or national regulations and/or permit requirements. Discharges must be connected to a municipal sewage treatment plant if available. In the absence of a municipal wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), the site must segregate wastewater unless commingling is permitted by local regulations and downstream treatment processes. Processes that generate sanitary or process wastewater must be shut down if the wastewater cannot be effectively treated or contained, unless a bypass is authorized by the regulatory authority*

## **Primary treatment only**

### **(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

☒ Not relevant

### **(9.2.9.6) Please explain**

*Not applicable*

## **Discharge to the natural environment without treatment**

### **(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

☒ Not relevant

### **(9.2.9.6) Please explain**

*Not Applicable*

## **Discharge to a third party without treatment**

### **(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge**

*Select from:*

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*Not Applicable*

#### Other

#### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

*Select from:*

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*Not Applicable*

*[Fixed row]*

**(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.**

#### (9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

89

#### (9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

*Select all that apply*

☒ Nitrates

☒ Phosphates

#### (9.2.10.4) Please explain

*Aptiv's target discharge values are 10 mg/L for phosphorus and 30 mg/L for nitrogen. These values are multiplied by the annual discharge effluent to calculate total annual discharge amounts*  
*[Fixed row]*

### **(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

#### **Direct operations**

##### **(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

##### **(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified**

66

##### **(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents**

*Select from:*

☒ 51-75

##### **(9.3.4) Please explain**

*Aptiv has identified 66 sites located in extreme high scarcity water areas, according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

#### **Upstream value chain**

##### **(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage**

*Select from:*

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### (9.3.4) Please explain

*Aptiv is developing capacity to assess water-related risks and opportunities within our supply chain*  
*[Fixed row]*

**(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.**

#### Row 1

##### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

*Select from:*

☒ Facility 1

##### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Agoura Hills Eng. Center*

##### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

*Select from:*

☒ Direct operations

##### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

*Select all that apply*

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Sacramento River - San Joaquin River

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.15

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-118.75

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.2

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0.2

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0.18

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.18

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.18

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 2

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 2

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Anting Tai Bo (A11) Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.31

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.249041

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

24.44

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

24.44

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

22.24

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

22.24

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

22.24

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 3**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 3

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Anting Yuanguo Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.29431

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

121.199495

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

71.41

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

71.41

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

64.98

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

64.98

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

64.98

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 4

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 4

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Castelo Branco Cable Plant Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Portugal

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.80896

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-7.518948

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.12

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0.12

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0.11

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.11

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.11

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 5

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 5

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Castelo Branco Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Portugal

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.80896

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-7.518948

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

14.58

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

14.58

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

13.27

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

13.27

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

13.27

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 6**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 6

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Castelo Branco Municipal Building Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Portugal

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.80896

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-7.518948

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

1.9

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

1.9

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

1.73

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

1.73

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

1.73

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 7

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 7

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Centec I - Saltillo Plant 98 Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.58

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.91

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

16.29

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

16.29

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

14.82

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

14.82

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

14.82

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 8

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 8

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Centec II - Saltillo CS Plant 97 Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.58

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.91

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

9.42

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

9.42

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

8.57

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

8.57

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

8.57

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 9**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 9

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Centec III - Saltillo Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.58

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-100.91

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

5.36

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

5.36

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

4.88

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

4.88

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

4.88

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 10

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 10

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Changchun Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Amur

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

43.846792

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

125.418086

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

25.97

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

25.97

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

23.63

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

23.63

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

23.63

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 11

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 11

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Changlong Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

44

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

125.45

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

14.15

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

14.15

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

12.88

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

12.88

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

12.88

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 12**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 12

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Chengdu Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

30.69

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

104.19

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

8.13

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

8.13

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

7.4

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

*Select from:*

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

7.4

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

7.4

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 13

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 13

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Chennai 2 Eng. Center*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Indonesia

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

12.85

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

79.93

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.08

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0.08

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0.07

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.07

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.07

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 14

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 14

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Chennai Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

12.89

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

79.93

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

2.03

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

2.03

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

1.85

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

1.85

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.85

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 15**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 15

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

China Tech Center

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.323974

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

121.61

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

6.05

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

6.05

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

5.5

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

*Select from:*

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

5.5

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

5.5

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 16

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 16

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Chongqing Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

29.74

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.48

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.92

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

2.92

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

2.66

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.66

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.66

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 17

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 17

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Choongiu (KUM) Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Republic of Korea

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.67

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

129.17

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

15.27

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

15.27

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

13.9

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

13.9

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

13.9

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 18**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 18

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

CS/HT Morocco Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

5.66

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

19.41

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

19.41

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

17.66

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

17.66

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

17.66

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 19

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 19

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Dharuhera Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.19

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

76.78

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

22.65

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

22.65

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

20.61

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

20.61

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

20.61

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 20

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 20

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Duseo 1 (KUM) Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Republic of Korea

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.67

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

129.17

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

8.68

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

8.68

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

7.9

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

7.9

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.9

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 21**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 21

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

EJV Shangai Office

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.31

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

121.25

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

16.38

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

16.38

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

14.91

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

14.91

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

14.91

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 22

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 22

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*El Salto Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Santiago

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

20.51

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-103.24

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.97

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

4.97

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

4.52

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

4.52

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

4.52

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 23

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 23

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Fresnillo 1 - Plant 62 Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ San Pedro

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.17

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.88

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

2.66

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

2.66

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

2.42

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.42

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.42

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 24**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 24

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Guadalupe III Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.66

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-100.18

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

23.95

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

23.95

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

21.8

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

21.8

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

21.8

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 25

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 25

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*India Tech Center*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

12.96

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.72

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

19.24

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

19.24

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

17.51

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.51

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

17.51

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 26

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 26

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Juarez Plant 32 (RBE II-VII-X) Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.66

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.34

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

21.3

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

21.3

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

19.39

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

19.39

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

19.39

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 27**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 27

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez Plant 33 (RBE IV) Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.74

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-106.43

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

29.3

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

29.3

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

26.66

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

26.66

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

26.66

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 28

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 28

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Juarez Plant 37 (RBE IX) Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.71

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.42

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

14.9

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

14.9

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

13.56

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

13.56

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

13.56

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 29

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 29

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Juarez Plant 38 (RBE V) Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.72

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.4

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

44.47

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

44.47

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

40.46

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

40.46

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

40.46

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 30**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 30

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Juarez Plant 39 (RBE I) Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.71

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-108

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

24.93

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

24.93

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

22.69

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

22.69

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

22.69

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 31

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 31

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Korea Tech Center*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Republic of Korea

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.26

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

127.17

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.62

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

2.62

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

2.39

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.39

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.39

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 32

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 32

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Lisbon Tech Center*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Portugal

☒ Tejo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

38.76

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-9.18

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

0.84

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0.84

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0.76

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.76

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.76

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 33**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 33

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Los Mochis - Plant 59 Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Fuerte

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.77

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-108.99

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

21.93

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

21.93

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

19.95

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

19.95

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

19.95

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 34

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 34

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Macedonia Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Bulgaria

☒ Vardar

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.99

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

21.62

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.34

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

5.34

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

4.85

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

4.85

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

4.85

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 35

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 35

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Matamoros Deltronicos Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.88

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-98

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

53.16

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

53.16

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

48.37

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

48.37

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

48.37

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 36**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 36

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Meoqui Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ San Pedro

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.27

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-105.49

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

29.66

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

29.66

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

26.99

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

26.99

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

26.99

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 37

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 37

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Mexico Tech Center*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.75

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-106.44

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

48.68

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

48.68

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

44.3

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

44.3

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

44.3

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 38

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 38

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Monterrey Tech Center

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

25.68

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-101

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

0

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 39**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 39

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco 1 Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

5.66

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

13.99

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

13.99

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

12.73

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

12.73

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

12.73

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 40

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 40

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Morocco 2 Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Algeria

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

5.66

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

19.76

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

19.76

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

17.98

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.98

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

17.98

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 41

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 41

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco 3 Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

5.66

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

30.57

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

30.57

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

27.82

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

27.82

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

27.82

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 42**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 42

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco 4 Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

5.66

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

23.58

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

23.58

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

21.46

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

21.46

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

21.46

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 43

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 43

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Morocco 5 Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

5.66

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.25

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

11.25

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

10.24

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.24

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

10.24

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 44

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 44

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco 7 Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

5.66

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

6.79

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

6.79

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

6.17

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.17

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.17

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 45**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 45

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Morocco Viza Building Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.76

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

5.66

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

5.49

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

5.49

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

5

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

*Select from:*

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

5

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

5

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 46

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 46

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Namyang Asan Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Republic of Korea

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.44

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

127.06

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.25

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

7.25

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

6.6

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.6

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.6

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 47

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 47

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Nantong Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.98

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.05

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

41.62

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

41.62

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

37.88

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

37.88

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

37.88

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 48**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 48

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

New Rhode Island Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.71

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-71.43

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

2.07

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

2.07

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

1.88

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

1.88

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

1.88

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 49

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 49

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Nuremberg Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Germany

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

49.49

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

11.1

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.38

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

7.38

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

6.72

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.72

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.72

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 50

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 50

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Osberghausen Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Germany

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

50.59

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

7.29

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

0.26

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0.26

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0.23

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.23

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.23

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 51**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 51

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Pamplona Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Spain

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.81

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

-1.68

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

0.87

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0.87

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0.8

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

0.8

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

0.8

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 52

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 52

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Reynosa Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

26.05

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-98.36

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.7

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

6.7

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

6.1

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.1

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.1

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 53

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 53

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Sangbuk (KUM) Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Republic of Korea

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.67

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

129

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

7.3

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

7.3

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

6.65

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.65

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.65

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 54**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 54

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Shin Yokohama Office

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Japan

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.51

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

139.61

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

0.2

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0.2

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0.18

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

0.18

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

0.18

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 55

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 55

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Singapore Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Singapore

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

1.36

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

103.86

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

83.31

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

83.31

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

75.81

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

75.81

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

75.81

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 56

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 56

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Suzhou Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.32

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

120.79

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

64.24

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

64.24

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

58.45

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

58.45

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

58.45

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 57**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 57

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Taiyun Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.29

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

121

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

31.24

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

31.24

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

28.43

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

28.43

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

28.43

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 58

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 58

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Tianjin Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.45

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.25

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

20.89

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

20.89

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

19.01

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

19.01

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

19.01

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 59

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 59

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Tijuana Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.48

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-116.99

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

6.63

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

6.63

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

6.03

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.03

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

6.03

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 60**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 60

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tunisia Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Tunisia

☒ Medjerda

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.63

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

9.62

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

13.39

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

13.39

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

12.18

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

12.18

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

12.18

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 61

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 61

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Victoria 1 Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.75

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-99.16

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.62

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

3.62

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

3.29

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

3.29

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

3.29

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 62

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 62

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Victoria 2 Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ Bravo

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.75

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-99.16

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

12.99

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

12.99

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

11.82

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

11.82

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

11.82

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 63**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 63

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Wuhan Office

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

30.33

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

112.24

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

0

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

0

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

0

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

*Select from:*

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

0

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

0

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 64

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 64

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Yancheng Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.36

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

120.25

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

22.37

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

22.37

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

20.35

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

20.35

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

20.35

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 65

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 65

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Yantai Mfg.

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.52

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.22

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

28.52

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

28.52

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

25.96

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

25.96

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

25.96

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

**Row 66**

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 66

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Youngcheon (KUM) Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Republic of Korea

☒ Unknown

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.02

**(9.3.1.9) Longitude**

128.94

**(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

4.23

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

4.23

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

3.85

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

*Select from:*

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater**

0

**(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations**

3.85

**(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)**

3.85

### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

## Row 67

### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 67

### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Zacatecas 1 Mfg.*

### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ San Pedro

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

22.75

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.51

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

14.36

#### (9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

14.36

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

13.07

**(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

13.07

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

13.07

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

### Row 68

#### (9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 68

#### (9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

*Zacatecas 2 Mfg.*

#### (9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

#### (9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

#### (9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☒ San Pedro

#### (9.3.1.8) Latitude

22.76

#### (9.3.1.9) Longitude

-102.49

#### (9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)**

8.7

**(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources**

8.7

**(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)**

7.92

#### (9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

#### (9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

#### (9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

#### (9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

7.92

#### (9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

7.92

#### (9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.3.1.29) Please explain

*High scarcity water areas according to the Water Stress Index elaborated by Verisk Maplecroft. This Index evaluates total water use relative to total annual available flow, accounting for upstream consumptive use. It does not include access to deep subterranean aquifers of water accumulated over centuries and millennia.*

[Add row]

**(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?**

**Water withdrawals – total volumes**

**(9.3.2.1) % verified**

Select from:

☒ 76-100

**(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used**

*ISO 14001:2015 Certification*

**Water withdrawals – volume by source**

**(9.3.2.1) % verified**

Select from:

☒ 76-100

**(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used**

*ISO 14001:2015 Certification*

**Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters**

**(9.3.2.1) % verified**

Select from:

☒ 76-100

**(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used**

## Water discharges – total volumes

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

### (9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

## Water discharges – volume by destination

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

### (9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

## Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

### (9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ 76-100

### (9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

**Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters**

**(9.3.2.1) % verified**

Select from:

☒ 76-100

**(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used**

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

**Water consumption – total volume**

**(9.3.2.1) % verified**

Select from:

☒ 76-100

**(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used**

ISO 14001:2015 Certification

[Fixed row]

**(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?**

Select from:

☒ Yes, CDP supply chain members buy goods or services from facilities listed in 9.3.1

**(9.4.1) Indicate which of the facilities referenced in 9.3.1 could impact a requesting CDP supply chain member.**

**Row 1**

**(9.4.1.1) Facility reference number**

Select from:

☒ Facility 52

#### (9.4.1.2) Facility name

*Facilities located in northern Mexico*

#### (9.4.1.3) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Ford Motor Company

#### (9.4.1.4) Description of potential impact on member

*Water shortages and periods of drought*

#### (9.4.1.5) Comment

*No comment*

*[Add row]*

#### (9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

|  | Revenue (currency) | Total water withdrawal efficiency | Anticipated forward trend                                                                 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | 19713000000        | 8851818.59                        | Reduction in consumption rate due to the implementation of more efficient water practices |

*[Fixed row]*

#### (9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

## Row 1

### (9.12.1) Product name

*Target 80% compliance with best practices for water management in low-risk areas*

### (9.12.2) Water intensity value

87

### (9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

☒ Water withdrawn

### (9.12.4) Denominator

*Best management practices implemented*

### (9.12.5) Comment

N/A

## Row 2

### (9.12.1) Product name

*Water consumption intensity (thousands of liters per employee)*

### (9.12.2) Water intensity value

11.74

### (9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

☒ Water withdrawn

#### (9.12.4) Denominator

*FTE (Worked Hours / 2000)*

#### (9.12.5) Comment

N/A

*[Add row]*

### (9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

#### (9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances

*Select from:*

☒ No

#### (9.13.2) Comment

*Aptiv products are in compliance with global regulations and customer requirements that impact Aptiv final products. Aptiv complies with the following international regulations: -Material Data Reporting (FMD, BOM Check, IMDS) -EU Legislations (ELV, REACH, RoHS)-US EPA-California Prop 65-Original Equipment Manufacturers Requirements-China REACH-Japan REACH-Aptiv 10949001 Specifications. -C2P*

*[Fixed row]*

### (9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

|  | Products and/or services classified as low water impact | Definition used to classify low water impact                           | Please explain                                                                                |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Aptiv's operations and manufacturing processes are not water intensive | Due to nature of our activities, water consumption is not significant for Aptiv's operations. |

[Fixed row]

## (9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

|                                                | Target set in this category                             |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Water pollution                                | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| Water withdrawals                              | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| Other                                          | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes |

[Fixed row]

## (9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

### Row 1

#### (9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Target 2

#### (9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

#### (9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Product water intensity

☒ Other product water intensity, please specify :Water consumption intensity (thousands of liters per employee)

#### (9.15.2.4) Date target was set

01/01/2020

#### (9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2020

#### (9.15.2.6) Base year figure

14.8

#### (9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2025

#### (9.15.2.8) Target year figure

14.5

#### (9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

11.74

#### (9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

#### (9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6

#### (9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Global operations, 100% of revenue

#### (9.15.2.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Implementation of best water management practices as recommended by the EPA, including recycling municipal reclaimed water, utilizing on-site wastewater treatment facilities, and harvesting rainwater

#### (9.15.2.16) Further details of target

High risk areas: 2% reduction (m3/emp); Low risk areas: 80% compliance to BMP  
[Add row]

## C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

### (10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

#### (10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (10.1.2) Target type and metric

Plastic polymers

☒ Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic polymers produced and/or sold

Plastic packaging

☒ Reduce the total weight of plastic packaging used and/or produced

☒ Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic packaging

End-of-life management

☒ Increase the proportion of recyclable plastic waste that we collect, sort, and recycle

#### (10.1.3) Please explain

*Aptiv's target is to maintain a waste recycling rate from manufacturing and technical centers at or above 80% with a circular economy approach. Additionally, as part of our roadmap to carbon neutrality and Scope 3 commitments, we are focused on increasing the use of recycled and low-carbon materials, including bio-based solutions.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

## Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Not applicable*

## Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Apitiv manufactures engineered component products, connectors, cable management and electrical centers for various industries. These products are made from various types of plastics.*

## Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Not applicable*

## Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Not applicable*

## Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (10.2.2) Comment

*As part of customer requirements, specific products are packaged in plastic.*

## Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Not applicable*

## Provision of waste management and/or water management services

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Not applicable*

## Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Not applicable*

## Other activities not specified

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Not applicable*

*[Fixed row]*

**(10.4) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods and durable components produced, sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content.**

## Durable goods and durable components sold

(10.4.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

78.87

(10.4.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

☒ None

(10.4.7) Please explain

For purposes of CDP reporting, this metric reflects total quantities of resins, plastics, elastomers, thermoplastics, and pigments used by our Connection Systems business during 2024.  
[Fixed row]

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

|                        | Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons) | Raw material content percentages available to report              | Please explain                      |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Plastic packaging used | 0                                                    | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None | This data is currently unavailable. |

[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

|                        | Percentages available to report for circularity potential                             | % of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable | Please explain                      |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Plastic packaging used | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> % technically recyclable | 0                                                     | This data is currently unavailable. |

[Fixed row]

## C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

### (11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

|  |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|--|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments                                                                                          |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years |

[Fixed row]

### (11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

|  |                                                                            |
|--|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance? |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                     |

[Fixed row]

### (11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

|                                        | Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity | Comment     |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Legally protected areas                | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                                                        | No comment. |
| UNESCO World Heritage sites            | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                                                        | No comment. |
| UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                                                        | No comment. |
| Ramsar sites                           | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                                                        | No comment. |
| Key Biodiversity Areas                 | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                                                        | No comment. |
| Other areas important for biodiversity | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                                                                        | No comment. |

[Fixed row]

## C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

|  |                                                                                                           |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                                                   |

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

### Row 1

#### (13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

#### (13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☒ Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption

#### (13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

Climate change-related standards

☒ Other climate change verification standard, please specify :ISO 50001

#### (13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

*As of 2025, Aptiv's 10 most energy intensive sites are certified for ISO 50001. This international standard provides a framework to establish an energy management system to improve energy performance, efficiency, and conservation.*

### Row 2

#### (13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

*Select all that apply*

☒ Climate change

#### (13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☒ Energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

Climate change-related standards

☒ IRECS (International Renewable Energy Certificate services)

#### (13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

*Procurement of renewable energy through I-RECs*

#### (13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

*Evident-RedemptionStatement-China V2024 150k MWh.pdf*

*[Add row]*

**(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.**

|  | Additional information     | Attachment (optional)                                                  |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | No additional information. | 2025_Aptiv_Digital_SustainabilityReport_1920x1080_Consolidated_v27.pdf |

[Fixed row]

**(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.**

**(13.3.1) Job title**

Executive Director of Sustainability

**(13.3.2) Corresponding job category**

Select from:

☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

[Fixed row]

**(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.**

Select from:

☒ Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute

